arXiv:1602.00610v4 [math.DG] 5 Apr 2016

Integral formulae for codimension-one foliated Finsler manifolds

Vladimir Rovenski* and Paweł Walczak†

Abstract

We study extrinsic geometry of a codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a Finsler space (M, F), in particular, of a Randers space $(M, \alpha + \beta)$. Using a unit vector field ν orthogonal (in the Finsler sense) to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, we define a new Riemannian metric g on M, which for Randers case depends nicely on (α, β). For that g we derive several geometric invariants of $\mathcal{F}$ (e.g. the Riemann curvature and the shape operator) in terms of F; then under natural assumptions on β which simplify derivations, we express them in terms of invariants arising from α and β. Using our approach of [13], we produce the integral formulae for $\mathcal{F}$ of closed (M, F) and $(M, \alpha + \beta)$, which relate integrals of mean curvatures with those involving algebraic invariants obtained from the shape operator of $\mathcal{F}$ and the Riemann curvature in the direction ν. They generalize formulae by Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg (that total mean curvatures of any order for a foliated closed Riemannian space of constant curvature don't depend on a choice of $\mathcal{F}$).

Keywords: Finsler space, Randers norm, foliation, Riemann curvature, integral formula, shape operator, Cartan torsion, variation formula

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) Primary 53C12; Secondary 53C21

Introduction

Two recent decades brought increasing interest in Finsler geometry (see [2, 4, 15] and the bibliographies therein), in particular, in extrinsic geometry of hypersurfaces of Finsler manifolds (see the items above and, for example, [14]). Among all the Finsler structures, Randers metrics (introduced in [9] and being the closest relatives of Riemannian ones) play an important role.

Extrinsic geometry of foliated Riemannian manifolds is also of definite interest since some time (see [11, 12] and, again, the bibliographies therein). Among other topics of interest, one can find a number of papers devoted to so called *integral formulae* (see surveys in [12, 1]), which provide obstructions for existence of foliations (or compact leaves of them) with given geometric properties. A series of integral formulae has been provided in [13]. They include the formulae in [10] that the total mean curvature of the leaves is zero, and generalize the formulae in [3], which show that total mean curvatures (of arbitrary order k) for codimension-one foliations on a closed $(m+1)$-dimensional manifold of constant sectional curvature K depend only on K, k, m and the volume of the manifold, not on a foliation. One of such formulae was used in [7] to prove that codimension-one foliations of a closed Riemannian manifold of negative Ricci curvature are far (in a sense defined there) from being umbilical.

In this paper we study extrinsic geometry of a codimension-one transversely oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a closed Finsler space (M, F), in particular, of a Randers space $(M, \alpha + \beta)$, α being the norm of a Riemannian structure a and β a 1-form of α-norm smaller than 1 everywhere on M. Using a unit normal ν (in the Finsler sense) to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ we define a new Riemannian structure g on M, which in Randers case depends nicely on α and β. For that g, we derive several geometric invariants of $\mathcal{F}$ (e.g. the Riemann curvature and the shape operator) in terms of F; under natural assumptions

*Mathematical Department, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel
e-mail: rovenski@math.haifa.ac.il

†Katedra Geometrii, Uniwersytet Łódzki, ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland
e-mail: pawelwal@math.uni.lodz.pl

on β which simplify derivations, we express them in terms of corresponding invariants arising from α and some quantities related to β. Then, using the approach of [13], we produce the integral formulae for $\mathcal{F}$ on (M, F) and $(M, \alpha + \beta)$; some of them generalize the formulae in [3].

Our formulae relate integrals of σ_i's with those involving algebraic invariants (see Appendix) obtained from A_p ($p \in M$) – the shape operator of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$, R_p – the Riemann curvature in the direction ν normal to $\mathcal{F}$, and their products of the form $(R_p)^j A_p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ In fact, we get a bit more: we produce an infinite sequence of such formulae for a smooth unit vector field ν on M involving these algebraic invariants. To simplify calculations, we work on locally symmetric ($\nabla R = 0$ with respect to g) Finsler manifolds, where our approach can be applied with the full force (Section 2). We show that our formulae reduce to these in [3] in the case of constant curvature and to those in [13] in the Riemannian case. Using Finsler geometry of Randers spaces we produce also (Section 3) integral formulae on codimension-one foliated Riemannian manifolds which involve not only A_p's and R_p's but also an auxiliary 1-form β.

We discuss a number of particular cases and provide consequences of our new formulae.

1 Preliminaries

Recall Euler's Theorem: If a function f on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is smooth away from the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ then the following two statements are equivalent:

– f is positively homogeneous of degree r, that is $f(\lambda\, y) = \lambda^r f(y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$;

– the radial derivative of f is r times f, namely, $f_{y^i}(y)\, y^i = r f(y)$.

The obvious consequence of Euler's Theorem helps us to represent several formulae in what follows:

Corollary 1. *If a smooth function f on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ obeys the 2-homogeneity condition $f(\lambda\, y) = \lambda^2 f(y)$ for $\lambda > 0$ then $f(y) = \frac{1}{2} f_{y^i y^j}(y)\, y^i y^j$ for smooth functions $f_{y^i y^j}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Euler's Theorem, $f_{y^i}(y)\, y^i = 2 f(y)$. Since $f_{y^i}(\lambda\, y) = \lambda f_{y^i}(y)$, by Euler's Theorem, we have $f_{y^i}(y) = f_{y^i y^j}(y) y^j$. □

1.1 The Minkowski and Randers norms

Definition 1 (see [15])**.** A *Minkowski norm* on a vector space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is a function $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to [0, \infty)$ with the following properties (of regularity, positive 1-homogeneity and strong convexity):

M_1 : $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\})$, M_2 : $F(\lambda\, y) = \lambda F(y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$,

M_3 : For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$, the following symmetric bilinear form is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$:

$$g_y(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s\, \partial t} \left[F^2(y + su + tv) \right]_{|\, s=t=0}. \tag{1}$$

By (M_2), $g_{\lambda y} = g_y$ for all $\lambda > 0$. By (M_3), $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : F(y) \le 1\}$ is a strictly convex set. Note that

$$g_y(y, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[F^2(y + tv) \right]_{|\, t=0}, \quad g_y(y, y) = F^2(y). \tag{2}$$

One can check that $F(u + v) \le F(u) + F(v)$ (the triangle inequality) and $F_{y^i}(y)\, u^i \le F(u)$ (the fundamental inequality) for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. By Corollary 1, we have $F^2(y) = g_{ij}(y)\, y^i y^j$, where $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} [F^2]_{y^i y^j} = F F_{y^i y^j} + F_{y^i} F_{y^j}$ are smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ which, in general, cannot be extended continuously to all of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. The following symmetric trilinear form C for Minkowski norms is called the *Cartan torsion*:

$$C_y(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[g_{y+tw}(u, v) \right]_{|\, t=0} \quad \text{where} \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\},\ u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}. \tag{3}$$

The homogeneity of F implies the following:

$$C_y(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r\, \partial s\, \partial t} \left[F^2(y + ru + sv + tw) \right]_{|\, r=s=t=0}, \quad C_{\lambda y} = \lambda^{-1} C_y \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

We have $C_y(y,\cdot\,,\cdot\,)=0$. The *mean Cartan torsion* is given by $I_y(u):=\operatorname{Tr} C_y(\cdot\,,\cdot\,,u)$. Observe that

$$C_{ijk}:=C(\partial_{y^i},\partial_{y^j},\partial_{y^k})=\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\,g_{ij}=\frac{1}{4}\,[F^2]_{y^iy^jy^k},\qquad I_k=g^{ij}C_{ijk}.$$

Let (b_i) be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and (θ^i) the dual basis in $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^*$. The *Busemann-Hausdorff volume form* is defined by $\mathrm{d}V_F=\sigma_F(x)\,\theta^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\theta^{m+1}$, where $\sigma_F=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\mathbb{B}^{m+1}}{\operatorname{vol}B^{m+1}}$. Here $\mathbb{B}^{m+1}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}:\|y\|<1\}$ is a Euclidean unit ball, and $\operatorname{vol}B^{m+1}$ is the Euclidean volume of a strongly convex subset $B^{m+1}:=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}:F(y^ib_i)<1\}$ (so that for the unit cubic $\mathcal{U}=[0,1]^{m+1}$, $\operatorname{vol}\mathcal{U}=1$).

The *distortion* of F is defined by $\tau(y)=\log(\sqrt{\det g_{ij}(y)}/\sigma_F)$. It has the 0-homogeneity property: $\tau(\lambda y)=\tau(y)$ $(\lambda>0)$, and $\tau=0$ for Riemannian spaces.

The *angular form* is defined by $h_y(u,v)=g_y(u,v)-F(y)^{-2}g_y(y,u)\,g_y(y,v)$. Observe that $h_y(u,u)\geq g_y(u,u)-F(y)^{-2}g_y(y,y)\,g_y(u,u)=0$ and equality holds if and only if $u\|\,y$.

A vector $n\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is called a *normal* to a hyperplane $W\subset\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ if $g_n(n,w)=0$ $(w\in W)$. There are exactly two normal directions to W, see [15], which are opposite when F is *reversible* (i.e., $F(-y)=F(y)$ for all $y\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$).

Definition 2. Let $a(\cdot\,,\cdot)=\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ be a scalar product and $\alpha(y)=\|y\|_\alpha=\sqrt{\langle y,y\rangle}$ for $y\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ the corresponding Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. If β is a linear form on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with $\|\beta\,\|_\alpha<1$ then the following function F is called the *Randers norm*:

$$F(y)=\alpha(y)+\beta(y)=\sqrt{\langle y,y\rangle}+\beta(y). \tag{4}$$

For Randers norm (4) on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, the bilinear form g_y obeys, see [15],

$$\begin{aligned} g_y(u,v) &= \alpha^{-2}(y)(1+\beta(y))\,\langle u,v\rangle+\beta(u)\,\beta(v)\\ &- \alpha^{-3}(y)\,\beta(y)\,\langle y,u\rangle\,\langle y,v\rangle+\alpha^{-1}(y)\,\big(\beta(u)\,\langle y,v\rangle+\beta(v)\,\langle y,u\rangle\big)\,, \qquad (5)\\ \det g_y &= (F(y)/\alpha(y))^{m+2}\det a. \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

Let $N\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ be a unit normal to a hyperplane W in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with respect to $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$, i.e.,

$$\langle N,w\rangle=0\quad(w\in W),\qquad\alpha(N)=\|N\|_\alpha=\sqrt{\langle N,N\rangle}=1.$$

Let n be a vector F-normal to W, lying in the same half-space with N and such that $\|n\|_\alpha=1$. Set

$$g(u,v):=g_n(u,v),\quad u,v\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}.$$

Then $g(n,n)=F^2(n)$, see (2), and $F(n)=1+\beta(n)$.

The 'musical isomorphisms' $\sharp$ and $\flat$ will be used for rank one tensors and symmetric rank 2 tensors on $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1},a)$ and Riemannian manifolds. For example, if β is a 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and $v\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ then $\langle\beta^\sharp,u\rangle=\beta(u)$ and $v^\flat(u)=\langle v,u\rangle$ for any $u\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.

Lemma 1. *If the Randers norm obeys $\beta(N)=0$ (i.e., $\beta^\sharp\in W$) then*

$$\begin{aligned} n &= c\,N-\beta^\sharp, \qquad (7)\\ g(u,v) &= c^2\big(\langle u,v\rangle-\beta(u)\,\beta(v)\big),\quad u,v\in W\,, \qquad (8)\\ g(n,n) &= c^4,\quad g(n,v)=0, \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

where $c:=(1-\|\beta\,\|_\alpha^2)^{1/2}>0$. The vector $\nu=c^{-2}n$ is an F-unit normal to W.

Proof. For arbitrary β and $y=n$ and $\alpha(n)=1$, the formula (5) reads

$$g(u,v)=(1+\beta(n))\langle u,v\rangle+\beta(u)\,\beta(v)-\beta(n)\,\langle n,u\rangle\,\langle n,v\rangle+\beta(u)\,\langle n,v\rangle+\beta(v)\,\langle n,u\rangle. \tag{10}$$

Assuming $u=n$, from (10) we find

$$g(n,v)=(1+\beta(n))\,\langle n+\beta^\sharp,\,v\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Note that $|\beta(n)| = |\langle \beta^\sharp, n\rangle| \le \alpha(\beta^\sharp)\,\alpha(n) < 1$; hence, $1+\beta(n) > 0$. We find from (11) with $v \in W$ that $n + \beta^\sharp = \hat{c}\,N$ for some $\hat{c} > 0$. Using $1 = \langle n, n\rangle = \hat{c}^{\,2} - 2\,\hat{c}\,\beta(N) + \|\beta\|_\alpha^2$, we get two values

$$\hat{c} = \beta(N) \pm (\beta(N)^2 + c^2)^{1/2}.$$

By condition $\beta(N) = 0$ we have $\beta^\sharp \in W$, this yields $\hat{c} = c$ and (7). Thus,

$$\beta(n) = \beta(cN - \beta^\sharp) = -\|\beta\|_\alpha^2, \qquad 1 + \beta(n) = c^2.$$

Finally, (8) follows from (10). □

Lemma 2. *Let the Randers norm obeys $\beta(N) = 0$ (i.e., $\beta^\sharp \in W$). If $u, U \in W$ and*

$$g(u, v) = \langle U, v\rangle \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in W \tag{12}$$

then $\beta(u) = c^{-4}\beta(U)$ and

$$c^2\,u = U + c^{-2}\beta(U)\,\beta^\sharp. \tag{13}$$

Proof. By (8), we have

$$g(u, v) = c^2\langle u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp,\, v\rangle.$$

Then from (12), since u, U and $\beta^\sharp$ belong to W, we obtain

$$u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp = c^{-2}U.$$

Applying β we get $\beta(u) - \beta(u)\,\|\beta\|_\alpha^2 = c^{-2}\beta(U)$, $\beta(u) = c^{-4}\beta(U)$ and then (13). □

1.2 Finsler spaces

Let M^{m+1} be a connected smooth manifold and TM its tangent bundle. The natural projection $\pi : TM_0 \to M$, where $TM_0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$ is called the *slit tangent bundle*. A *Finsler structure* on M is a Minkowski norm F in tangent spaces T_pM, which smoothly depends on a point $p \in M$. Note that π_* maps the double tangent bundle T^2M into TM itself.

A *spray* on a manifold M is a smooth vector field $\mathbb{G}$ on TM_0 such that

$$\pi_*(\mathbb{G}_v) = v, \quad \mathbb{G}_{\lambda v} = \lambda\,(h_\lambda)_*(\mathbb{G}_v) \qquad (v \in TM_0,\ \lambda > 0), \tag{14}$$

where $h_\lambda : v \mapsto \lambda\,v$ is the homothety of TM. The meaning of $(14)_1$ is that $\mathbb{G}$ is a *second-order vector field* over M, and $(14)_2$ is the homogeneous quadratic condition. In local coordinates (x^i), $\mathbb{G}$ is expressed as $\mathbb{G}(y) = y^i\partial_{x^i} - 2G^i\partial_{y^i}$, where $G^i(\lambda\,y) = \lambda^2 G^i(y)$ $(\lambda > 0)$.

Using $\mathbb{G}$ we define the following notions: covariant derivative, parallel translation (and parallel vectors) along a curve, geodesics and curvature. A curve $\gamma(t)$ in TM_0 satisfying $\dot\gamma = \mathbb{G}_\gamma$ is an integral curve of $\mathbb{G}$; it is equal to the canonical lift of $c := \pi\circ\gamma$. The *covariant derivative* of a vector field $u(t)$ along a curve $c(t)$ in M is given by $D_{\dot c}\,u = \{\dot u^i + \Gamma^i_{kj}(\dot c)\,\dot c^k\,u^j\}\,\partial_{x^i\,|\,c}$. Here $G^i = \frac{1}{2}\,\Gamma^i_{kj}\,y^k y^j$ for smooth functions $\Gamma^i_{kj} = (G^i)_{y^k y^j}$ on TM_0, see Corollary 1. The following properties are obvious:

$$D_{\dot c}\,(u+v) = D_{\dot c}\,u + D_{\dot c}\,v, \quad D_{\dot c}\,(fu) = \dot c(f)\,u + f D_{\dot c}\,u, \quad D_{\lambda\dot c}\,u = \lambda D_{\dot c}\,u$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda > 0$, see [15]. A vector field $u(t)$ along c is *parallel* if $D_{\dot c}\,u(t) \equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$\dot u^i + \Gamma^i_{kj}(\dot c)\,\dot c^k\,u^j = 0 \quad (i \ge 1).$$

A curve $c(t)$ in M is called a *geodesic* of $\mathbb{G}$ if it is a projection of an integral curve of $\mathbb{G}$; hence, $\ddot c = \mathbb{G}_{\dot c}$. A curve $c(t)$ is a geodesic if and only if the tangent vector $u = \dot c$ is parallel along itself: $D_{\dot c}\,\dot c = 0$. For a geodesic $c(t)$ we have the following quasilinear system of second order ODEs

$$\ddot c^{\,i} + 2G^i(\dot c) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m+1\,.$$

A Finsler metric F on M induces a *Finsler spray* $\mathbb{G}$ on TM_0, whose geodesics are locally shortest paths connecting endpoints and have constant speed. Its geodesic coefficients are given by

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4}\,g^{il}\big([F^2]_{x^k y^l}\,y^k - [F^2]_{x^l}\big) = \frac{1}{4}\,g^{il}\big(2\frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}\big)\,y^j y^k\,,$$

see [15]. Here $g_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{2}\,[F^2]_{y^i y^j}(y)$, compare (1). Then $\Gamma^i_{kj}(y) = \frac{1}{2}\,g^{il}\big(\frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}\big)$ are homogeneous of 0-degree functions on TM_0.

Remark 1. A Finsler metric on a manifold M is called a *Berwald metric* if in any local coordinate system (x, y) in TM_0, the Christoffel symbols Γ^i_{jk} are functions on M only, in which case the geodesic coefficients $G^i = \frac{1}{2}\,\Gamma^i_{kj}(x)\,y^k y^j$ are quadratic in $y = y^i\partial_{x^i}$. On a Berwald space, the parallel translation along any geodesic preserves the Minkowski functionals; thus, such spaces can be viewed as Finsler spaces modeled on a single Minkowski space. Berwald metrics are characterized among Randers ones, $F = \alpha + \beta$, by the following criterion: β is parallel with respect to α, see [15, Theorem 2.4.1]. If β is a closed 1-form, then Finslerian geodesics are the same (as sets) as the geodesics of the metric a.

A Finsler manifold is *positively* (resp. *negatively*) *complete* if every geodesic $c(t)$ on $(0, t_0)$ can be extended for $(0, \infty)$ (resp. $(-\infty, 0)$), and F is *complete* if it is both positively and negatively complete. This property is satisfied by all closed Finsler manifolds. Let (M, F) be positively complete; hence, for any $p, q \in M$ there exists a globally minimizing geodesic from p to q, see also Hopf-Rinov theorem [15, p. 178]. Let c_y be a geodesic with $c_y(0) = p$ and $\dot c_y(0) = y \in T_pM$. The *exponential map* is defined by $\exp_p(y) = c_y(1)$. By homogeneity of $\mathbb{G}$ one has $c_y(t) = c_{ty}(1)$ for $t > 0$; hence, $\exp_p(ty) = c_y(t)$. Recall [14] that $\exp_p$ is smooth on TM_0 and C^1 at the origin with $d(\exp_p)_{|\,0} = \mathrm{id}_{\,T_pM}$.

Consider a geodesic $c(t),\ 0 \le t \le 1$. A C^∞ map $\mathcal{H} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \to M$ is called a *geodesic variation* of c if $\mathcal{H}(0, t) = c(t)$ and for each $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, the curve $c_s(t) := \mathcal{H}(s, t)$ is a geodesic. For a geodesic variation $\mathcal{H}$ of c, the variation field $Y(t) := \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s}(0, t)$ along c satisfies the *Jacobi equation*:

$$D_{\dot c} D_{\dot c}\, Y + R_{\dot c}(Y) = 0 \tag{15}$$

for some ($y \in TM$)-dependent (1,1)-tensor R_y. Jacobi equation (15) serves as the definition of curvature. A vector field $Y(t)$ satisfying (15) along a geodesic $c(t)$ is called *Jacobi field*. We have $g_{\dot c}(Y(t), \dot c(t)) = \lambda^2(a + bt)$ and $g_{\dot c}(D_{\dot c}\,Y(t), \dot c(t)) = \lambda^2 b$ for some constants a, b and $\lambda{=}F(\dot c)$. The orthogonal component $Y^\perp(t) = Y(t) - (a + bt)\dot c(t)$ of the Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along $c(t)$ is also a Jacobi field such that $Y^\perp(t)$ and $D_{\dot c}\,Y^\perp(t)$ are $g_{\dot c}$-orthogonal to $\dot c(t)$. Define $R^{(1)}_{\dot c(t)} : T_{c(t)}M \to T_{c(t)}M$ by $R^{(1)}_{\dot c(t)}\,(u(t)) = D_{\dot c(t)}[R_{\dot c(t)}\,(u(t))]$, where $u(t)$ is a parallel vector field along c. Similarly, we define $R^{(2)}_{\dot c(t)}$, $R^{(3)}_{\dot c(t)}$ etc. Thus, by (15), a spray defines transformations $R_y : T_pM \to T_pM$ called the *Riemann curvature in a direction* $y \in T_pM \setminus \{0\}$, and we have $R_y(y) = 0$ and $R_{\lambda y} = \lambda^2 R_y$ $(\lambda > 0)$. In coordinates, $R_y = R^i{}_k dx^k \partial_{x_i}$ and $R^i{}_k(y)\,y^k = 0$, where R^i_k's depend on the Finsler spray only [14]:

$$R^i{}_k = 2\,(G^i)_{x^k} - y^j\,(G^i)_{x^j\,y^k} + 2\,G^j\,(G^i)_{y^j\,y^k} - (G^i)_{y^j}\,(G^j)_{y^k}\,.$$

Moreover, $R^i{}_k = R_j{}^i{}_{kl}\,y^j\,y^l$ for local functions $\{R_j{}^i{}_{kl}\} = \frac{1}{2}\,(R^i{}_k)_{y^j y^l}$ on TM_0 (see Corollary 1) and

$$R_j{}^i{}_{kl} = (\Gamma^i_{jl})_{x^k} - (\Gamma^i_{jk})_{x^l} + \Gamma^m_{jl}\,\Gamma^i_{mk} - \Gamma^m_{jk}\,\Gamma^i_{ml}\,.$$

For the Finsler spray, R_y is g_y-self-adjoint: $g_y(R_y(u), v) = g_y(u, R_y(v)),\ u, v \in T_pM$.

For a plane $P \subset T_pM$ tangent to M and a vector $y \in P\setminus\{0\}$, the *flag curvature* $K(P, y)$ is given by

$$K(P, y) = \frac{g_y(R_y(u), u)}{g_y(y, y)g_y(u, u) - g_y(y, u)g_y(y, u)}\,,$$

where $u \in P$ is such that $P = \mathrm{span}\{y, u\}$; certainly, the value of $K(P, y)$ is independent of the choice of $u \in P$. If $K(P, y)$ is a scalar function on TM_0 (that holds in dimension two) then F is said to be of *scalar* (flag) *curvature*, in this case, $R_y(u) = K(\pi(y))\{g_y(y, y)u - g_y(y, y)y\}$ $(y, u \in TM_0)$. If $K = K(\pi(y))$ (i.e., the flag curvature is *isotropic*) and $m \ge 2$ then $K = \mathrm{const}$, see [5, Lemma 7.1.1]. For each $K \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist many non-isometric Finsler metrics of constant scalar curvature K.

Let $\{e_i\}_{1\le i\le m+1}$ be a g_y-orthonormal basis for T_pM such that $e_{m+1} = y/F(y)$, and let $P_i = \mathrm{span}\{e_i, y\}$ for some $y \in T_pM$. Then $K(P_i, y) = F^{-2}(y)\,g_y(R_y(e_i), e_i)$. The *Ricci curvature* is a function on TM_0 defined as the trace of the Riemann curvature,

$$\mathrm{Ric}(y) = \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^m g_y(R_y(e_i), e_i) = F^2(y)\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^m K(P_i, y)$$

with the homogeneity property $\mathrm{Ric}(\lambda y) = \lambda^2\,\mathrm{Ric}(y)$ $(\lambda > 0)$. In a coordinate system, by Corollary 1 we have $\mathrm{Ric}(y) = R_j{}^i{}_{ik}\,y^j\,y^k = \mathrm{Ric}_{jk}\,y^j\,y^k$. A Finsler space (M^{m+1}, F) is said to be of *constant Ricci curvature* λ (or, *Einstein*) if $\mathrm{Ric}(y) = m\lambda\,F^2(y)$ $(y \in TM_0)$, or $\mathrm{Ric}_{jk} = m\lambda\,g_{jk}$ in coordinates.

2 Codimension-one foliated Finsler spaces

Given a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a Finsler manifold (M^{m+1}, F), there exists a globally defined F-normal (to the leaves) smooth vector field n which defines a Riemannian metric $g := g_n$ with the Levi-Civita connection ∇. We have $g(n, u) = 0$ ($u \in T\mathcal{F}$) and $g(n, n) = F^2(n)$, see (9). Then $\nu = n/F(n)$ is an F-unit normal.

2.1 The Riemann curvature and the shape operator

In this section we apply the variational approach to find a relationship between the Riemann curvature of F and g. It generalizes the following.

Proposition 1 (see [15])**.** *Let Y be a geodesic field on an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ in a Finsler space (M, F) and $\hat{g} := g_Y$ the induced metric on $\mathcal{U}$. Then the Riemann curvature of F and $\hat{F} := \sqrt{\hat{g}}$ obey $R_Y = \hat{R}_Y$. Moreover, Y is a geodesic field of $\hat{F}$ and for the Levi-Civita connection we have $D_Y X = \hat{D}_Y X$.*

For a codimension-one Riemannian foliation, a unit normal ν is a geodesic vector field; hence, by Proposition 1, transformations R_ν defined for F by (15) coincide with the Jacobi operator $R(\cdot, \nu)\nu$ of the metric g. Recall that the second differential is defined by $\nabla^2_{u,v} = \nabla_u \nabla_v - \nabla_{\nabla_u v}$ for any u, v.

Let Y_t ($|t| \le \varepsilon$) be a smooth family of F-unit vector fields on an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ in (M, F). Put $\dot{Y}_t = \partial_t Y_t$ and $\dot{g}_t = \partial_t g_t$, where $g_t := g_{Y_t}$ is a family of metrics on $\mathcal{U}$. By definition (3) of the Cartan torsion, we have

$$\dot{g}_t = 2C_{Y_t}(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,,\dot{Y}_t). \tag{16}$$

Note that $\dot{g}_t(Y_t,\,\cdot) = 2C_{Y_t}(Y_t,\,\cdot\,,\dot{Y}_t) = 0$.

Proposition 2. *Let Y_t ($|t| \le \varepsilon$) doesn't depend on t at a point $p \in \mathcal{U}$ and $u, v \in T_pM$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} -\,\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) \;&=\; C_Y(u, \nabla^t_v Y_t, \nabla^t_Y \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(\nabla^t_u Y_t, v, \nabla^t_Y \dot{Y}_t) \\ &+\; C_Y(\nabla^t_Y Y_t, v, \nabla^t_u \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, \nabla^t_Y Y_t, \nabla^t_v \dot{Y}_t) \\ &+\; C_Y(u, v, (\nabla^t)^2_{Y,Y} \dot{Y}_t) + 2(\nabla^t_Y C_{Y_t})(u, v, \nabla^t_Y \dot{Y}_t). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The shape operators A_t (when $Y_p = \nu_p$) of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to g_t and the volume forms $\mathrm{d}V_t$ at p obey

$$g_t(\partial_t A_t(u), v) \;=\; -C_\nu(u, v, \nabla^t_\nu \dot{Y}_t), \quad \partial_t(\mathrm{d}V_t) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Put $\Pi(u, v) = \partial_t \nabla^t_u v$ for t-independent vector fields u, v. Then, see [16],

$$2\, g_t(\Pi(u, v), w) = (\nabla^t_v \dot{g}_t)(u, w) + (\nabla^t_u \dot{g}_t)(v, w) - (\nabla^t_w \dot{g}_t)(u, v), \tag{19}$$

and for arbitrary t-dependent vector fields X_t and Z_t we obtain

$$\partial_t \nabla^t_{X_t} Z_t = \Pi(X_t, Z_t) + \nabla^t_{X_t}(\partial_t Z_t) + \nabla^t_{\partial_t X_t} Z_t.$$

By definition,

$$R_t(u, Z_t)Y_t = \nabla^t_u(\nabla^t_{Z_t} Y_t) - \nabla^t_{Z_t}(\nabla^t_u Y_t) - \nabla^t_{[u, Z_t]} Y_t.$$

So,

$$\partial_t R_t(u, Z_t)Y_t = \partial_t(\nabla^t_u(\nabla^t_{Z_t} Y_t)) - \partial_t(\nabla^t_{Z_t}(\nabla^t_u Y_t)) - \partial_t(\nabla^t_{[u, Z_t]} Y_t).$$

Deriving the terms of the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\nabla^t_{Z_t}(\nabla^t_u Y_t)) \;&=\; \Pi(Z_t, \nabla^t_u Y_t) + \nabla^t_{Z_t}(\Pi(u, Y_t)) + \nabla^t_{Z_t}(\nabla^t_u \dot{Y}_t) + \nabla^t_{\dot{Z}_t}(\nabla^t_u Y_t), \\ \partial_t(\nabla^t_u(\nabla^t_{Z_t} Y_t)) \;&=\; \Pi(u, \nabla^t_{Z_t} Y_t) + \nabla^t_u(\Pi(Z_t, Y_t)) + \nabla^t_u(\nabla^t_{\dot{Z}_t} Y_t) + \nabla^t_u(\nabla^t_{Z_t} \dot{Y}_t), \\ \partial_t(\nabla^t_{[u, Z_t]} Y_t) \;&=\; \Pi([u, Z_t], Y_t) + \nabla^t_{[u, Z_t]} \dot{Y}_t + \nabla^t_{[u, \dot{Z}_t]} Y_t \end{aligned}$$

with $\dot{Z}_t = \partial_t Z_t$, we obtain a 'time-dependent' version of [16, Proposition 2.3.4],

$$\partial_t R_t(u, Z_t)Y_t = (\nabla^t_u \Pi)(Z_t, Y_t) - (\nabla^t_{Z_t} \Pi)(u, Y_t) + R_t(u, Z_t)\dot{Y}_t + R_t(u, \dot{Z}_t)Y_t.$$

We shall compute $\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) := \partial_t g_t(R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v)$ at p; thus, terms with $\dot{Y}$ will be canceled at the final stage. Assume at a 'time' t of our choice, $\nabla = \nabla^t$ and $\nabla u = \nabla v = 0$ at p. Then perform the following preparatory calculations at p:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}Y\big((\nabla^t_u \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v)\big) &= Y\big(u\,(C_{Y_t}(Y_t, v, \dot{Y}_t)) - C_{Y_t}(\nabla^t_u Y_t, v, \dot{Y}_t)\big)\\
&= -C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t),\\
\frac{1}{2}Y\big((\nabla^t_{Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v)\big) &= Y\big(Y_t\,(C_{Y_t}(u, v, \dot{Y}_t))\big) - Y(C_{Y_t}(\nabla^t_{Y_t} u, v, \dot{Y}_t))\\
&\quad - Y(C_{Y_t}(u, \nabla^t_{Y_t} v, \dot{Y}_t))\\
&= C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y \nabla_{Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) + 2(\nabla_Y C_Y)(u, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t),\\
\frac{1}{2}Y\big((\nabla^t_v \dot{g}_t)(u, Y_t)\big) &= Y\big(v\,(C_{Y_t}(u, Y_t, \dot{Y}_t)) - C_{Y_t}(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \dot{Y}_t)\big)\\
&= -C_Y(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t),\\
(\nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v) &= 2C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t}\dot{Y}_t),\\
(\nabla_u \dot{g}_t)(\nabla_Y Y_t, v) &= 2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t),\\
(\nabla_v \dot{g}_t)(u, \nabla_Y Y_t) &= 2C_Y(u, \nabla_Y Y_t, \nabla_v \dot{Y}_t)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using all of that and (16) we obtain at p:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle(\nabla_Y \Pi)(u, Y_t), v\rangle = \langle\nabla_Y\,(\Pi(u, Y_t)) - \Pi(u, \nabla_Y Y_t),\, v\rangle\\
&= Y\langle\Pi(u, Y_t),\, v\rangle - \langle\Pi(u, \nabla_Y Y_t),\, v\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{2}Y\big[\,(\nabla^t_u \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v) + (\nabla^t_{Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v) - (\nabla^t_v \dot{g}_t)(u, Y_t)\,\big]\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\big[\,(\nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v) + (\nabla_u \dot{g}_t)(\nabla_Y Y_t, v) - (\nabla_v \dot{g}_t)(u, \nabla_Y Y_t)\,\big]\\
&= C_Y(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) - C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t)\\
&\quad + 2(\nabla_Y C_{Y_t})(u, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y \nabla^t_{Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) - C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t}\dot{Y}_t)\\
&\quad - C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, \nabla_Y Y_t, \nabla_v \dot{Y}_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the terms with $C_Y(Y, \cdot, \cdot)$ were canceled on $\mathcal{U}$, and the identity $[Y_t, v]^\top = -(\nabla^t_v Y_t)^\top$ at p (where $^\top$ is the orthogonal to Y at p component of a vector) was applied. Similarly, we use at p

$$\begin{aligned}
&u\big[(\nabla^t_{Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v)\big] = -2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t), \quad u\big[(\nabla^t_v \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, Y_t)\big] = 0,\\
&(\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \dot{g})(Y, v) = 0, \quad (\nabla_v \dot{g})(Y, \nabla_u Y_t) = 0,\\
&(\nabla_Y \dot{g})(\nabla_u Y_t, v) = 2\,C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t)
\end{aligned}$$

to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle(\nabla_u \Pi)(Y_t, Y_t), v\rangle = \langle\nabla_u(\Pi(Y_t, Y_t)) - 2\Pi(Y_t, \nabla_u Y_t), v\rangle\\
&= u\langle\Pi(Y_t, Y_t), v\rangle - 2\,\langle\Pi(Y_t, \nabla_u Y_t), v\rangle\\
&= u\big[(\nabla^t_{Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v) - \frac{1}{2}\,(\nabla^t_v \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, Y_t)\big]\\
&\quad -(\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \dot{g})(Y_t, v) - (\nabla_Y \dot{g})(\nabla_u Y_t, v) + (\nabla_v \dot{g})(Y, \nabla_u Y_t)\\
&= -2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t) - 2\,C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{Y} = 0$ at p, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) = (\partial_t g)(R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v) + g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v)\\
&= 2\,C_Y(R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v, \dot{Y}) + g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v) = g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have (17) at p for all $t \geq 0$. For the second fundamental form b_t of $\mathcal{F}$ (with respect to g_t),

as in the proof of [12, Lemma 2.9], using (16), (19), $\dot g(p)=0$ and $\dot Y(p)=0$, we get at a point p:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t b_t(u,v) &= \dot g(\nabla_u v, Y) + g(\partial_t\nabla_u v, Y) + g(\nabla_u v, \partial_t Y)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big((\nabla_u\dot g)(v,Y) + (\nabla_v\dot g)(u,Y) - (\nabla_Y\dot g)(u,v)\big) + g(\nabla_u v, \dot Y)\\ &= -\nabla_Y(C_Y(u,v,\dot Y)) = -C_Y(u,v,\nabla_Y\dot Y).\end{aligned}$$

From this, using $b_t(u,v)=g_t(A_t(u),v)$, we get $(18)_1$:

$$g_t(A_t(u),v) = \partial_t b_t(u,v) - \dot g(A(u),v) = -C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu\dot Y).$$

By the formula for the volume form of a t-dependent metric, $\partial_t(\mathrm{d}V_t) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Tr}\dot g)\,\mathrm{d}V_t$, see [16], and definition of the mean Cartan torsion, we get

$$\partial_t(\mathrm{d}V_t) = I_{Y_t}(\dot Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}V_t. \tag{20}$$

Next, $(18)_2$ follows from (20) and $\dot Y(p)=0$. □

Let L be a leaf through a point $p\in M$, and ρ the local distance function to L in a neighborhood of p. Denote by $\hat\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of the (local again) Riemannian metric $\hat g := g_{\nabla\rho}$. Note that $\nabla\rho=\nu$ on L. The *shape operator* $A: T\mathcal{F}\to T\mathcal{F}$ (self-adjoint for g) is defined at $p\in M$ by (compare [15] with the opposite sign)

$$A(u) = -\hat\nabla_u\nu \quad (u\in T_p\mathcal{F}).$$

The shape operator $A^g: T\mathcal{F}\to T\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the metric g is defined at $p\in M$ by

$$A^g(u) = -\nabla_u\nu \quad (u\in T_p\mathcal{F}).$$

Note that $2\,g(\nabla_u\nu,\nu) = u(g(\nu,\nu)) = 0$ $(u\in T\mathcal{F})$; hence, $\nabla_u\nu\in T\mathcal{F}$. The *mean curvature* function (of the leaves with respect to g) is defined by $H^g=\operatorname{Tr}A^g$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is *g-totally umbilical* if $A^g=H^gI_m$, and is *g-totally geodesic* if $A^g\equiv 0$.

Corollary 2. *Let L be a hypersurface in an open set $\mathcal{U}\subset M$. If an F-unit vector field Y_t $(0\le t\le\varepsilon)$ is given in $\mathcal{U}$ and orthogonal to L then for the metric $g_t := g_{Y_t}$ for all $u,v\in T_pL$ $(p\in L)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t R_t(u,Y_t,Y_t,v) &= C_Y(A_t(u),v,\nabla^t_Y\dot Y_t) + C_Y(u,A_t(v),\nabla^t_Y\dot Y_t)\\ &\quad - C_Y(u,v,(\nabla^t)^2_{Y,Y}\dot Y_t) - 2(\nabla^t_Y C_{Y_t})(u,v,\nabla^t_Y\dot Y_t), \qquad (21)\\ g(\partial_t A_t(u),v) &= -C_Y(u,v,\nabla^t_Y\dot Y_t), \quad \partial_t(\mathrm{d}V_t)=0. \qquad (22)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from $\dot Y_t=0$ on L, the definition of A_t (for g_t) and (17)–(18). □

Definition 3. A vector field $\widehat Y$ defined in some neighborhood $\mathcal{U}\subset M$ of a point $p\in\mathcal{U}$ is called a *geodesic extension* of a vector $Y_p\in T_pM$ if $\widehat Y(p)=Y_p$ and the integral curves of $\widehat Y$ are geodesics of the Finsler metric. Similarly, we define a *geodesic extension* of a (e.g. normal) vector field along a hypersurface $L\subset\mathcal{U}$. In both cases, $\hat g := g_{\widehat Y}$ is called the *osculating Riemannian metric* of F on $\mathcal{U}$.

We will use osculating metric (given locally) to express the Riemannian curvature of $g=g_\nu$ (for an unit F-normal ν to $\mathcal{F}$) in terms of Riemannian curvature and the Cartan torsion of F.

Given a vector field $Y\in C^\infty(TM)$, let $C^\sharp_Y$ be a $(1,1)$-tensor g_Y-dual to the symmetric bilinear form $C_Y(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_Y Y)$. Note that $C_n(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_n n) = C_{c^2\nu}(\cdot,\cdot,c^4\nabla_\nu\nu) = c^2C_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_\nu\nu)$.

Theorem 1. *Let ν be a unit normal to a codimension-one foliation of a Finsler space (M^{m+1},F). The Riemann curvatures (in the ν-direction) of F and $g=g_\nu$ are related by*

$$\begin{aligned}g((R_\nu - R^g_\nu)(u),v) &= -C_\nu\big(A^g(u) + \frac{1}{2}C^\sharp_\nu(u),v,\nabla_\nu\nu\big)\\ &\quad - C_\nu\big(u,A^g(v) + \frac{1}{2}C^\sharp_\nu(v),\nabla_\nu\nu\big)\\ &\quad + C_\nu\big(u,v,\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu - C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\nu)\big) + 2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u,v,\nabla_\nu\nu) \quad (u,v\in T_pL). \qquad (23)\end{aligned}$$

The shape operators and volume forms are related by

$$A - A^g = C^\sharp_\nu, \qquad \mathrm{d}V_g = e^{\tau(\nu)}\,\mathrm{d}V_F. \tag{24}$$

In particular, the traces are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}_\nu - \mathrm{Ric}^g_\nu &= I_\nu(\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\nu - C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,\nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu\, I_\nu)(\nabla_\nu\,\nu) \\ &\quad - \mathrm{Tr}\,\big(C^\sharp_\nu(C^\sharp_\nu + 2\,A^g)\big), \\ \mathrm{Tr}\,A - \mathrm{Tr}\,A^g &= I_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a "small" neighborhood of $p \in L$ such that any two geodesics starting from $L \cap \mathcal{U}$ in the ν-direction do not intersect in $\mathcal{U}$. Then for any $q \in \mathcal{U}$ there is a unique geodesic γ starting from L in the ν-direction such that $\gamma(s) = q$ for some $s \geq 0$, in other words, $q = \exp_{\gamma(0)}(s\,\dot\gamma(0))$. Thus, $\widehat{Y} : q \to \dot\gamma(s)$ $(q \in \mathcal{U})$ is an F-unit geodesic vector field $(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}}\widehat{Y} = 0)$ – a geodesic extension of $\nu_{\,|\,L}$.

Consider a family of vector fields $Y_t = t\,\widehat{Y} + (1-t)\,\nu$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ on $\mathcal{U}$, define the Riemannian metrics $g_t := g_{Y_t}$, g_1 being osculating, and denote by R_t their Riemann curvatures. Since $\dot Y_t = \widehat{Y} - \nu$ and $Y_{t\,|L} = \nu_{|L} = \widehat{Y}_{|L}$ for all t, we have $\dot Y_{t\,|L} = 0$ and $g_{t\,|L} \equiv g_{|L}$. By (16) and (19), we get $\Pi_t(\nu,\nu) = \Pi_t(\nu,\widehat{Y}) = 0$ on L; hence, $\nabla^t_\nu\,\nu$ and $\nabla^t_\nu\widehat{Y}$ restricted on L don't depend on t. Next, we find

$$g(\Pi(\nu,\nu),v) = C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) = -C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu\,\nu), \quad u,v \in TM_{|L},$$

i.e., $\Pi(\nu,u) = -C^\sharp_\nu(u)$. We calculate on L:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\partial_t(\nabla^t_\nu\,u),v) &= \nabla^t_\nu(C_Y(u,v,\widehat{Y}-\nu)) + \nabla^t_u(C_Y(\nu,v,\widehat{Y}-\nu)) - \nabla^t_v(C_Y(u,\nu,\widehat{Y}-\nu)) \\ &= (\nabla^t_\nu C_Y)(u,v,\widehat{Y}-\nu) + C_Y(u,v,\nabla^t_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) \\ &+ (\nabla^t_u C_\nu)(n,v,\widehat{Y}-\nu) + C_\nu(\nabla^t_u\,\nu,v,\widehat{Y}-\nu) + C_\nu(\nu,v,\nabla^t_u(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) \\ &- (\nabla^t_v C_\nu)(u,\nu,\widehat{Y}-\nu) - C_\nu(u,\nabla^t_v\,\nu,\widehat{Y}-\nu) - C_\nu(u,\nu,\nabla^t_v(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) \\ &= C_\nu(u,v,\nabla^t_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) = -C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu\,\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\partial_t(g(\nabla^t_\nu\,u,v)) = g(\partial_t\nabla^t_\nu\,u,v)$ and $\partial_t(g(\nabla^t_u\,\nu,v)) = g(\partial_t\nabla^t_u\,\nu,v)$ on L, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla^t_\nu\,u,v) &= g(\nabla_\nu\,u,v) - t\,C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu\,\nu), \\ g(\nabla^t_u\,\nu,v) &= g(\nabla_u\,\nu,v) - t\,C_\nu(u,v,\nabla_\nu\,\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\nabla^2_{u,v}$ is tensorial in u,v. We show that $(\nabla^t)^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\widehat{Y}$ is t-independent on L:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^t)^2_{\widehat{Y},\widehat{Y}}\,\widehat{Y} &= \nabla^t_n(\nabla^t_{\widehat{Y}}\,\widehat{Y}) = \nabla_\nu(\nabla^t_{\widehat{Y}}\,\widehat{Y}) - t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla^t_\nu\,\widehat{Y}) \\ &= \nabla_\nu(\nabla^t_{\widehat{Y}}\,\widehat{Y}) = \nabla_\nu(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}}\,\widehat{Y} - t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\widehat{Y})) \\ &= \nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\widehat{Y} - t\,(\nabla_\nu C^\sharp_\nu)(\widehat{Y}) - t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\widehat{Y}) = \nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\widehat{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\widehat{Y})_{\,|L} = (\widehat\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\widehat{Y})_{\,|L} = 0$. Using this and $(\nabla_\nu\widehat{Y})_{\,|L} = 0$, we find on L:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^t_{Y_t}\,\dot Y_t &= -\nabla_\nu\,\nu, \\ (\nabla^t)^2_{Y_t,Y_t}\,\dot Y_t &= (\nabla^t)^2_{\nu,\nu}\,(\widehat{Y}-\nu) = \nabla^t_\nu\big(\nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu) - t\,C^\sharp_\nu\,(\widehat{Y}-\nu)\big) \\ &= \nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,(\widehat{Y}-\nu) - t\,\nabla_\nu\,(C^\sharp_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) - t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) \\ &= -\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\nu + 2\,t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain on L:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Y_t}(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_{Y_t}\,\dot Y_t) &= C_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) = -C_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_\nu\,\nu), \\ C_{Y_t}(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla^2_{Y_t,Y_t}\,\dot Y_t) &= C_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}(\widehat{Y}-\nu)) = -C_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\,\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we calculate on L, using $C_Z(Z,\cdot,\cdot)=0$ for $Z=\nabla_\nu\nu$,

$$(\nabla_{Y_t}C_{Y_t})(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_{Y_t}\dot{Y}_t)=(\nabla_\nu C_{t\,\widehat{Y}+(1-t)\,\nu})(\cdot,\cdot,-\nabla_\nu\nu)$$
$$=(\nabla_\nu C)_\nu(\cdot,\cdot,-\nabla_\nu\nu)+C_{(1-t)\nabla_\nu\nu}(\cdot,\cdot,-\nabla_\nu\nu)=-(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_\nu\nu).$$

By the above and $(18)_1$, we obtain $(24)_1$. By Corollary 2, for all $t\in[0,1]$, and using $A_t=A^g+t\,C^\sharp_\nu$, see $(24)_1$, and $(\nabla^t)^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu=-\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu+2\,t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\nu)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t R_t(u,\nu,\nu,v) &= -C_\nu(A_t(u),v,\nabla_\nu\nu)-C_\nu(u,A_t(v),\nabla_\nu\nu)\\ &\quad +C_\nu(u,v,(\nabla^t)^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu)+2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u,v,\nabla_\nu\nu)\\ &= -C_\nu(A^g(u)+t\,C^\sharp_\nu(u),v,\nabla_\nu\nu)-C_\nu(u,A^g(u)+t\,C^\sharp_\nu(v),\nabla_\nu\nu)\\ &\quad +C_\nu(u,v,-\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu+2\,t\,C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\nu))+2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u,v,\nabla_\nu\nu)\end{aligned}$$

for $u,v\in T_pL$, where the right hand side becomes linear in t. Integrating this by $t\in[0,1]$ yields (23). Finally, using the equality for volume forms, $\mathrm{d}\widehat{V}=\mathrm{d}V_g$, and definition of τ (see Section 1.1), we get $(24)_2$. □

Since any geodesic vector field Y satisfies conditions

$$C_Y(u,v,\nabla_Y Y)=0,\quad C_Y(u,v,\nabla^2_{Y,Y}Y)=0\quad(\forall\,u,v),\tag{26}$$

the following corollary generalizes Proposition 1.

Corollary 3. *If Y is a unit vector field on a Finsler space (M,F) and $g:=g_Y$ a Riemannian metric on M with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and conditions* (26)*, then $R_Y=R^g_Y$.*

Proof. By (26), we have $C^\sharp_Y=0$ and

$$(\nabla_Y C_Y)(u,v,\nabla_Y Y)=\nabla_Y(C_Y(u,v,\nabla_Y Y))-C_Y(u,v,\nabla^2_{Y,Y}Y)=0.$$

If a vector field $\widehat{Y}$ is a local geodesic extension of $Y(p)$ then $R^g_Y=\hat{R}_Y$ (and $A^g=\hat{A}$) at p, see (23) and (24). Thus, the claim follows from Proposition 1. □

2.2 Integral formulae

Let $\mathcal{F}$ is a codimension-one foliation of a closed Finsler space (M^{m+1},F) with the Busemann-Hausdorff volume form $\mathrm{d}V_F$. Define a family of diffeomorphisms $\{\phi_t : M\to M,\ 0\le t<\varepsilon\}$ ($\varepsilon>0$ being small enough) by

$$\phi_t(p)=\exp_p(t\,\nu),\quad\text{where}\quad\nu\in T_pM\quad\text{is an } F\text{-unit normal to } \mathcal{F}\text{ at } p\in M.$$

Let $c(t)$ ($t\ge0$) be an F-geodesic with $c(0)=p$ and $\dot{c}(0)=\nu(p)$. Any geodesic variation built of ϕ_t-trajectories determines an F-Jacobi field $Y(t)$ on c, and $A_p(Y(0))=-[D_{\dot{c}(t)}Y(t)]_{|t=0}$, see [15, p. 225]. Recall that if vectors $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are D-parallel along $c(t)$ then $g_{\dot{c}(t)}(u(t),v(t))$ is constant. Choose a positively oriented $g_{\nu(p)}$-orthonormal frame $(e^1,\dots,e^m)$ of $T_p\mathcal{F}$ and extend it by parallel translation to the frame $(E^1_t,\dots,E^m_t)$ of vector fields $g_{\dot{c}(t)}$-orthogonal to $\dot{c}(t)$ along $c(t)$. Denote also by $E^{m+1}_t=\dot{c}(t)$ the tangent vector field along $c(t)$. Denote by $Y^i(t)$ ($i\le m$) the Jacobi field along $c(t)$ satisfying $Y^i(0)=e^i$ and $D_{\dot{c}}Y^i(0)=A_p(e^i)$. Let $R(t)$ be the matrix with entries $g_{\dot{c}}(R_{\dot{c}}(E^i_t),E^j_t)$. Denote by $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ the $m\times m$ matrix consisting of the scalar products $g_{\dot{c}}(Y^i(t),E^j_t)$ ("*F-Jacobi tensor*"). Then $\mathbf{Y}(0)=I_m$ and $\mathbf{Y}'(0)=A_p$. It is known (see, for instance, [15, Sections 2.1 and 2.2]) that

$$|\,d\,\phi_t(p)|=\det\mathbf{Y}(t)\,,$$

where $|\,d\phi_t(p)|$ is the Jacobian of ϕ_t at p. Assume that $R^{(1)}_{\dot{c}(t)}\equiv0$ for any F-geodesic $c(t)$ ($t\ge0$) (e.g. (M,F) is *locally symmetric* with respect to F). For $t=0$, we have $R^{(2)}_{\dot{c}(0)}\equiv R^{(3)}_{\dot{c}(t)}\equiv\ldots\equiv0$. For short, write $R_p:=R(0)$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}R_p=\mathrm{Ric}(\nu(p))$. The F-Jacobi equation $\mathbf{Y}''=-R(t)\mathbf{Y}$ implies that

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(2k)}(0)=(-R_p)^k,\quad\mathbf{Y}^{(2k+1)}(0)=(-R_p)^kA_p,\quad k=0,1,2,\dots$$

Hence, our Jacobi tensor has the form

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}^{(k)}(0)\,\frac{t^k}{k!} = I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}R_pA_p + \frac{t^4}{4!}R_p^2 + \dots .$$

Certainly, the radius of convergence of the series is uniformly bounded from below on M (by $1/\|R\|_F > 0$). The volume of M is defined by $\mathrm{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M \mathrm{d}V_F$. Therefore – by Dominated Convergence Theorem – its integration together with Change of Variables Theorem yield the equality for any $t \geq 0$ small enough

$$\mathrm{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M \det\left(I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}R_pA_p + \frac{t^4}{4!}R_p^2 + \dots\right)\mathrm{d}V_F\,, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathrm{d}V_F$ is the volume form of F. Formula (27) together with Lemma 4 of Appendix imply our main result (which generalizes that of [13] valid for the Riemannian case). Note that the invariants $\sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ of a set of real $m \times m$ matrices A_i are defined and discussed in Appendix.

Theorem 2. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a codimension-one foliation on a closed Finsler manifold (M^{m+1}, F), which is F-locally symmetric, then for any $0 \leq k \leq m$ one has*

$$\int_M \sum\nolimits_{\|\lambda\|=k} \sigma_\lambda\left(B_1(p), \dots B_k(p)\right)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \tag{28}$$

where $B_{2k}(p) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!}\,(R_p)^k$, $B_{2k+1}(p) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!}\,(R_p)^k A_p$ for $p \in M$.

The formulae (28) for few initial values of k, $k = 1, \dots 3$, read as follows:

$$\int_M \sigma_1(A_p)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\int_M \left(\sigma_2(A_p) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,R_p\right)\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \tag{30}$$

$$\int_M \left(\sigma_3(A_p) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(A_p)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,R_p + \frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}(R_pA_p)\right)\mathrm{d}V_F = 0. \tag{31}$$

The formulae (29) and (30) are well known for arbitrary foliated Riemannian manifolds, see the Introduction. For $m = 1$, (30) reduces to the integral of flag (Gauss) curvature, $\int_M K\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0$.

Remark 2. 1. The compactness of M in Theorem 2 can be replaced by weaker conditions: M is positively complete of finite F-volume, and has 'bounded geometry' in the following sense:

$$\sup\nolimits_{p\in M} \|R_p\|_F < \infty, \quad \sup\nolimits_{p\in M} \|A_p\|_F < \infty. \tag{32}$$

2. Similar formulae exist for codimension-one foliations of on arbitrary (non-locally symmetric with respect to F) Finsler manifolds. They are more complicated since they contain terms which depend on covariant derivatives of R_p. More precisely, they contain just terms of the form $R_p^{(k)}$, where $R_p^{(1)} = D_{\nu(p)}R_p$, $R_p^{(2)} = D_{\nu(p)}D_{\nu(p)}\,R_p$ and so on. For the F-Jacobi tensor $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ we get

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}(R_pA_p + R_p^{(1)}) + \frac{t^4}{4!}(R_p^2 - R_p^{(2)} - 2R_p^{(1)}A_p) + \dots$$

The t^3 term of (27) becomes, compare (31),

$$\int_M \left(\sigma_3(A_p) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(R_p)\,\mathrm{Tr}(A_p) + \frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}(R_p\,A_p) - \frac{1}{6}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,R_p^{(1)}\right)\mathrm{d}V_F = 0.$$

In general, the t^k term in (27) contains $R_p^{(j)}$'s with $j \leq k-2$.

Corollary 4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one foliation on a F-locally symmetric complete Finsler manifold (M, F) of finite F-volume and bounded (in the sense of (32)) geometry. If $\mathrm{rank}(A_p) \leq 1$ for all $p \in M$ (for example, $\mathcal{F}$ is F-totally geodesic) then the Riemannian curvature R_p vanishes identically provided that M has everywhere non-negative (or, non-positive) Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_p = \mathrm{Tr}\,R_p$.*

Proof. Since in this case $\sigma_2(A_p) = 0$, integral formula (30) implies the claim. □

Given a unit normal ν to $\mathcal{F}$, denote by Q_R the symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor in the rhs of (23). Then, see (25),

$$\operatorname{Tr} Q_R = I_\nu(\nabla^2_{\nu,\nu}\nu + C^\sharp_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,\nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu I_\nu)(\nabla_\nu\,\nu) - \operatorname{Tr}\big(C^\sharp_\nu(C^\sharp_\nu + 2\,A^g)\big).$$

Define the 1-form θ_g by the equality

$$\theta_g(X) = g([X,\nu],\nu) \qquad (X \in TM).$$

Note that $\nabla_\nu\,\nu = \theta_g^\sharp$ is the mean curvature of ν-curves with respect to g. Comparing (28) for F and g, we obtain a series of integral formulas, the first two of which are given in the following.

Theorem 3. *Let $\tau(\nu) = \text{const}$ on a codimension-one foliated Finsler space (M,F). Then*

$$\int_M I_\nu(\nabla_\nu\,\nu)\,\mathrm{d}V_F \;=\; 0, \tag{33}$$

$$\int_M \big(\sigma_2(C^\sharp_\nu) + (\operatorname{Tr} A^g)(\operatorname{Tr} C^\sharp_\nu) - \operatorname{Tr}(A^g C^\sharp_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} Q_R\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F \;=\; 0. \tag{34}$$

Proof. By $(24)_1$, $A = A^g + C^\sharp_\nu$, where $A = A_p$. Thus, (33) follows from (29), using $(24)_2$ and Theorem 1. Note that by (66) with $k = 1$ and (68) (of Appendix), and by (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2(A_p) \;&=\; \sigma_2(A^g) + \operatorname{Tr}(A^g)\operatorname{Tr} C^\sharp_\nu - \operatorname{Tr}(A^g C^\sharp_\nu),\\ \operatorname{Ric}_\nu \;&=\; \operatorname{Tr} R_p = \operatorname{Ric}^g_\nu + \operatorname{Tr} Q_R.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (34) follows from (30), using $(24)_2$ and (68) with $k = 2$ (of Appendix). □

2.3 Examples

Finsler manifolds of constant flag curvature. We provide examples, these of (M,F) with constant flag curvature $K(\nu,P)$ on M, i.e., such that $R_p = K\,I_m$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$.

a) For (M,F) with zero flag curvature, $R_p = 0$, and we obtain the Jacobi tensor of a simple form, linear in t: $\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m + tA_p$ $(t \geq 0)$. Then (27) reduces to $\operatorname{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M \det(I_m + tA_p)\,\mathrm{d}V_F$. From this we obtain the Finsler generalization of the case $K = 0$ of [3, Theorem 1.1], i.e.,

$$\int_M \sigma_k(A_p)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \quad k > 0. \tag{35}$$

b) Assume now that the flag curvature $K(\nu,P)$ of (M,F) is constant and positive, say $K = 1$. Then $R_p = I_m$ and one can rewrite the Taylor series for $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ $(t \geq 0)$ in the form $\mathbf{Y}(t) = \cos t\,\big(I_m + (\tan t)A_p\big)$. Change of Variables Theorem for integration implies that the equality

$$\operatorname{Vol}_F(M) = (\cos t)^m \int_M \det\big(I_m + (\tan t)A_p\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F$$

holds for arbitrary $t \geq 0$ small enough. One can use the substitution $\tan t \to \tilde{t}$ and the identity $\cos^2 t = (1+\tilde{t}^2)^{-1}$ for further derivations.

c) The case of negative constant flag curvature $K(\nu,P)$ of M, say $K = -1$, is similar to the case (b). One can use the substitution $\tanh(t) \to \tilde{t}$ and the identity $\cosh^2 t = (1-\tilde{t}^2)^{-1}$ for derivations.

The above yields the following extension of Theorem 1.1 in [3].

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation on a Finsler manifold (M^{m+1},F) of finite F-volume and $\sup_{p\in M}\|A_p\|_F < \infty$ (e.g. closed) with a unit normal ν and condition $R_p = KI_m$. Then, for any $0 \leq k \leq m$,*

$$\int_M \sigma_k(A_p)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = \begin{cases} K^{k/2}\binom{m/2}{k/2}\operatorname{Vol}_F(M), & m,\,k \text{ even},\\ 0, & m \text{ or } k \text{ odd}.\end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Remark 3. By Theorem 8.2.4 in [8], if a Finsler manifold M is closed and has constant negative curvature then it is Randers.

If (M, F) is F-locally symmetric and the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are *F-totally geodesic* (i.e., $A_p = 0$) then

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(2k+1)}(0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{Y}^{(2k)}(0) = (-R_p)^k.$$

Finally we get the F-Jacobi tensor $\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p + \frac{t^4}{4!}R_p^2 - \frac{t^6}{6!}R_p^3 + \ldots$, and (28) reduces to

$$\int_M \sum\nolimits_{\|\lambda\|=k} \sigma_\lambda\big(-\frac{1}{2!}\,R_p,\ \frac{1}{4!}\,R_p^2, \ldots,\ \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!}R_p^k\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0.$$

For codimension-one F-totally geodesic foliations on arbitrary positively complete (or closed) Finsler manifolds of finite F-volume, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p\ \mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \quad \int_M \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \\ \int_M \big(\sigma_2(R_p) + \frac{1}{6}\ \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p^2 - \frac{1}{6}\ \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p^{(2)}\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and so on. Equalities (37) imply directly the following statement (see also Corollary 4).

Corollary 6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one F-totally geodesic foliation on a F-locally symmetric positively complete Finsler manifold (M, F) of finite F-volume and with condition $(32)_1$. Then R_p vanishes identically provided that either M has everywhere non-negative (or, non-positive) Ricci curvature* Ric*, or $\sigma_2(R_p)$ is non-negative.*

It has been observed in [7] that codimension-one foliations of compact negatively-Ricci curved Riemannian spaces are far (in a sense) from being totally umbilical. In the case of an *F-totally umbilical foliation*, $A_p = H\, I_m$, therefore on a locally symmetric Finsler space (M, F) the following can be derived from (30) – (31) etc. with the use of Lemma 3 of Appendix:

$$\int_M \big((m-1)(m-2)H^2 - \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\int_M H\big(\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{3m-2}H^2 - \mathrm{Tr}\, R_p\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0. \tag{39}$$

These integrals for k even ((38), (39), etc.) contain polynomials depending on H^2 only. If all the coefficients of such polynomials are positive, then the polynomials are positive for all values of H and one may easily get obstructions for existence of totally umbilical foliations on some Finsler manifolds.

3 Codimension-one foliated Randers spaces

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation of M^{m+1} equipped with a Randers metric

$$F(y) = \sqrt{a(y,y)} + \beta(y), \quad \|\beta\,\|_\alpha < 1, \quad \beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}).$$

As before, let us write $a(\cdot,\cdot) = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let N be a unit a-normal vector field to $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $\langle N, N\rangle = 1$ and $\langle N, v\rangle = 0$ for $v \in T\mathcal{F}$, and n an F-normal vector field to $\mathcal{F}$ with the property $\langle n, n\rangle = 1$. Denote by $\bar\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric a and by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric $g = g_n$ on M. According to [4, (1.15) and (1.19)] we have

$$\tau(y) \ = \ (m+2)\log\sqrt{(1+\beta(y)/\alpha(y))\,c^{-2}}\,, \tag{40}$$

$$I_y(v) \ = \ \frac{m+2}{2F(y)}\Big(\beta(v) - \frac{\langle v, y\rangle\,\beta(y)}{\alpha^2(y)}\Big)\,. \tag{41}$$

In particular, $\tau(n) = 0$ and $I_n(v) = \frac{m+2}{2\,c^4}\,\langle\,\beta^\sharp - (c^2-1)\,n,\ v\rangle$. Remark that for Randers spaces

$$C_n(u,v,w) = \frac{1}{m+2}\,\big(I_n(u)\,h_n(v,w) + I_n(v)\,h_n(u,w) + I_n(w)\,h_n(u,v)\big)\,,$$

where the angular form h_n is given by

$$h_n(u,v) = c^2\big(\langle u,v\rangle - \langle u,n\rangle\,\langle v,n\rangle\big)\,, \tag{42}$$

see [4, (1.11) and (1.20)]. Since $\sigma_F = c^{m+2}\sqrt{\det a_{ij}}$, see [4, p. 6], and $\sqrt{\det g_{ij}(n)} = c^{m+2}\sqrt{\det a_{ij}}$, see (6), the volume form of F and canonical volume forms of Riemannian metrics g and a obey

$$\mathrm{d}V_F = c^{m+2}\mathrm{d}V_a, \qquad \mathrm{d}V_g = c^{m+2}\mathrm{d}V_a, \qquad \mathrm{d}V_F = \mathrm{d}V_g. \tag{43}$$

Let $Z = \nabla_\nu\,\nu$ (which is dual of θ_g in Sect. 2.2) and $\bar{Z} = \bar{\nabla}_N N$ be the curvature vectors of ν-curves and N-curves for Riemannian metrics g and a, respectively.

3.1 The shape operators of g and a

The shape operators of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the metrics a and g are defined as follows:

$$\bar{A}(u) = -\bar{\nabla}_u\,N, \quad A^g(u) = -\nabla_u\,\nu\,,$$

where $u \in T\mathcal{F}$ and $\nu = c^{-2}n = c^{-1}(N - c^{-1}\beta^\sharp)$ with $c = \sqrt{1 - \|\beta\|_\alpha^2} > 0$.

The derivative $\bar{\nabla}u : TM \to TM$ is defined by $(\bar{\nabla}u)\,(v) = \bar{\nabla}_v\,u = \bar{\nabla}_v\,u$, where $v \in TM$. The conjugate derivative $(\bar{\nabla}u)^t : TM \to TM$ is defined by $\langle(\bar{\nabla}\,u)^t(v), w\rangle = \langle v, (\bar{\nabla}\,u)(w)\rangle$ for all $v, w \in TM$. The *deformation tensor* $\overline{\mathrm{Def}}$,

$$2\,\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_u = \bar{\nabla}u + (\bar{\nabla}u)^t,$$

measures the degree to which the flow of a vector field $u \in \Gamma(TM)$ distorts the metric a. The same notation $\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_u$ will be used for its dual (with respect to a) $(1,1)$-tensor. Set $\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_u^\top(v) = (\,\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_u(v))^\top$. For $\beta \neq 0$, let

$$\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} = \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) - \langle\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp\rangle\beta^\sharp \cdot \|\beta^\sharp\|_\alpha^{-2}$$

be the projection of $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$ on $(\beta^\sharp)^\perp$. Note that $\lim_{\beta\to 0}\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} = 0$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\beta(N) = 0$ on M. Then on $T\mathcal{F}$ we have*

$$c\,A^g = \bar{A} - c^{-2}(c\,N - \beta^\sharp)(c)I_m + \,c^{-1}(\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})^\top_{|T\mathcal{F}} + U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp + U_2 \otimes \beta\,, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 \;&=\; -\frac{1}{2}\,c^{-2}\big((c\,N - \beta^\sharp)(c)\,\beta^\sharp - 2\,c^{-1}(\,\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp)^\top - \bar{\nabla}^\top_{N - c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\beta^\sharp \\ &\qquad + c\,\bar{Z} + c\,\beta(\bar{Z})\,\beta^\sharp - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}\big), \\ U_2 \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\,\big(\bar{\nabla}^\top_{N - c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp - c\,\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}\,\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Proof. By the well-known formula for Levi-Civita connection of g, using equalities $g(u,n) = 0 = g(v,n)$ and $g([u,v],n) = 0$, we have

$$2\,g(\nabla_u\,n, v) = n(g(u,v)) + g([u,n],v) + g([v,n],u) \quad (u,v \in T\mathcal{F}). \tag{46}$$

One may assume $\bar{\nabla}^\top_X\,u = \bar{\nabla}^\top_X\,v = 0$ for all $X \in T_pM$ at a given point $p \in M$. Using (11) with $u = [u,n]$ and $v = v$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n(g(u,v)) \;&=\; n(c^2(\langle u,v\rangle - \beta(u)\,\beta(v))) \\ &=\; n(c^2)(\langle u,v\rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v)) - c^2\beta(u)(\bar{\nabla}_n\,\beta)(v) - c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n\,\beta)(u)\beta(v), \\ g([u,n],v) \;&=\; c^2\big(\langle[u,n],v\rangle + \beta(v)\langle[u,n]),\,n\rangle\big) \\ &=\; -c^2\langle c\,\bar{A}(u) + \bar{\nabla}_u\,\beta^\sharp, v\rangle + c^3\langle\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\,u\rangle\,\beta(v), \\ g([v,n],u) \;&=\; c^2\big(\langle[v,n],u\rangle + \beta(u)\langle[v,n]),\,n\rangle\big) \\ &=\; -c^2\langle c\,\bar{A}(v) + \bar{\nabla}_v\,\beta^\sharp, u\rangle + c^3\langle\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\,v\rangle\,\beta(u). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (46), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\,g(\nabla_u\, n, v) = n(c^2)\big(\langle u, v\rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v)\big) - 2\,c^3\langle \bar{A}(u), v\rangle - 2\,c^2\langle \overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(u), v\rangle\\
&-c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n\,\beta)(u)\,\beta(v) - c^2\beta(u)(\bar{\nabla}_n\,\beta)(v) + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\, u\rangle\,\beta(v)\\
&\qquad + c^3\beta(u)\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\, v\rangle. \qquad (47)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (47), assuming $g(\nabla_u\, n, v) = \langle \mathfrak{D}(u),\, v\rangle$ and using Lemma 2, we get

$$
-\,2\,c^4 A^g(u) \;=\; 2\,\mathfrak{D}(u) + c^{-2}\langle 2\,\mathfrak{D}(u),\, \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\,, \qquad (48)
$$

where $\mathfrak{D} : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}$ is a linear operator, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\,\mathfrak{D}(u) \;&=\; n(c^2)\,(u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp) - 2\,c^3\bar{A}(u) - 2\,c^2\,(\,\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(u))^\top\\
&-\; c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n^\top\,\beta)(u)\,\beta^\sharp - c^2\beta(u)\bar{\nabla}_n^\top\,\beta^\sharp + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\, u\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\\
&+\; c^3\beta(u)(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}). \qquad (49)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (49) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\,\langle \mathfrak{D}(u), \beta^\sharp\rangle \;&=\; n(c^2)\,c^2\beta(u) - 2\,c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), u\rangle - 2\,c^2\,\langle \overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(\beta^\sharp), u\rangle\\
&-\; c^2(1-c^2)\,(\bar{\nabla}_n^\top\,\beta)(u) + c^3 n(c)\beta(u) + c^3(1-c^2)\,\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z},\, u\rangle\\
&+\; c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta(u). \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (48) – (50) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c\,A^g = \bar{A} - c^{-1}\,(N - c^{-1}\,\beta^\sharp)(c)\,I_m c^{-1}\,(\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})^\top_{|T\mathcal{F}}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\,c^{-2}\,\big((c\,N - \beta^\sharp)(c)\,\beta^\sharp - 2\,c^{-1}(\,\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp)^\top - \bar{\nabla}^\top_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp + c\,\bar{Z} + c\,\langle \bar{Z},\, \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\\
&-\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + \langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\big)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp + \frac{1}{2}\,\big(\bar{\nabla}^\top_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp - c\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\big)\otimes\beta.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above the expected (44) – (45) follow. □

Corollary 7. *Let* $\beta(N) = 0$. *If* $\|\beta\|_\alpha = \mathrm{const}$ *then on* $T\mathcal{F}$ *we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c\,A^g = \bar{A} + c^{-1}\,(\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})^\top_{|T\mathcal{F}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\big(\bar{\nabla}^\top_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp - c\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}\big)\otimes\beta\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\,c^{-2}\,\big(2\,c^{-1}\overline{\mathrm{Def}}^{\,\top}_{\beta^\sharp}(\beta^\sharp) + \bar{\nabla}^\top_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}\,\beta^\sharp + \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}\\
&-c\,\bar{Z} - c\,\langle \bar{Z},\, \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\big)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp. \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}
$$

If, in particular, $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$ (*i.e., F is a Berwald structure*) *then*

$$
c\,A^g = \bar{A} - \frac{1}{2}\,\big(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} + c\bar{Z}\big)\otimes\beta + \frac{1}{2}\,c^{-2}\,\big(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} - c\,\bar{Z} - c\,\langle \bar{Z},\, \beta^\sharp\rangle\,\beta^\sharp\big)^\flat\otimes\beta^\sharp. \qquad (52)
$$

3.2 The Riemann curvature of g and a

In this section we study relationship between Riemann curvature of two metrics, g and a, on a Randers space.

Proposition 4. *For a codimension-one foliation of* M *with Riemannian metrics* g *and* a *we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z \;&=\; c^{-2}\bar{Z} - c^{-3}\,\bar{\nabla}^\top c + c^{-4}\,\beta(\bar{Z} - c^{-1}\,\bar{\nabla}^\top c)\,\beta^\sharp, \qquad (53)\\
C^\sharp_n \;&=\; c^{-2}\bar{C} + c^{-4}(\beta\circ\bar{C})\otimes\beta^\sharp, \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\,\bar{C} \;&=\; \mathrm{Sym}(\beta\otimes\bar{Z}) + c^{-3}\big(c\,\beta(\bar{Z}) - 2\,\beta^\sharp(c) - n(c)\big)\big(I_m - \beta\otimes\beta^\sharp\big)\\
&-\; c^{-1}\mathrm{Sym}(\beta\otimes\bar{\nabla}^\top c) + c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c) + n(c))\big(I_m - 3\,\beta\otimes\beta^\sharp\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$\langle\bar\nabla_u\bar Z,v\rangle=\langle\bar\nabla_v\bar Z,u\rangle,\quad g(\nabla_uZ,v)=g(\nabla_vZ,u)\quad(u,v\in T\mathcal F),\tag{55}$$
$$\bar R_N=(\overline{\mathrm{Def}}_{\bar Z})^\top_{|T\mathcal F}+\bar\nabla_N\bar A-\bar A^2-\bar Z^\flat\otimes\bar Z,\quad R^g_\nu=(\mathrm{Def}_Z)^\top_{|T\mathcal F}+\nabla_\nu A-A^2-Z^\flat\otimes Z.\tag{56}$$

Proof. Extend $X\in T_p\mathcal F$ at a point $p\in M$ onto a neighborhood of p with the property $(\bar\nabla_Y X)^\top=0$ for any $Y\in T_pM$. By the well known formula for the Levi-Civita connection, we obtain at p:

$$g(Z,X)=g([X,\nu],\nu).$$

Then, using the equalities $\nu=c^{-1}N-c^{-2}\beta^\sharp$ and $[X,fY]=X(f)Y+f[X,Y]$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}g([X,\nu],\nu)\ &=\ c^{-4}X(c)\,g(N,\beta^\sharp)-c^{-3}X(c)\,g(N,N)\\&+\ c^{-2}g([X,N],\,N)-c^{-3}g([X,N],\beta^\sharp).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$[X,N]=\bar\nabla_XN-\bar\nabla_NX=-\bar A(X)-\langle\bar\nabla_NX,\,N\rangle\,N=-\bar A(X)+\langle\bar Z,\,X\rangle\,N$$

and $N=c\nu+c^{-1}\beta^\sharp$. Then, by Lemma 1 and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}g(\beta^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)\ &=\ c^2(\langle\beta^\sharp,\,\beta^\sharp\rangle-\beta(\beta^\sharp)^2)=c^4(1-c^2),\\g(N,\beta^\sharp)\ &=\ g(c\nu+c^{-1}\beta^\sharp,\,\beta^\sharp)=c^{-1}g(\beta^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)=c^3(1-c^2),\\g(N,N)\ &=\ g(c\nu+c^{-1}\beta^\sharp,\,c\nu+c^{-1}\beta^\sharp)=c^2+c^{-2}g(\beta^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)=c^2(2-c^2),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}g([X,N],\,N)\ &=\ -\langle\bar A(\beta^\sharp),\,X\rangle+\langle\bar Z,X\rangle\,g(N,N)=c^2\langle(2-c^2)\bar Z-c\bar A(\beta^\sharp),\ X\rangle,\\g([X,N],\beta^\sharp)\ &=\ -\langle\bar A(\beta^\sharp),\,X\rangle+\langle\bar Z,X\rangle\,g(N,\beta^\sharp)=c^3\langle(1-c^2)\bar Z-c\bar A(\beta^\sharp),\ X\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$g(Z,X)\ =\ -c^{-1}X(c)+\langle\bar Z,X\rangle=\langle\bar Z-c^{-1}\bar\nabla c,\ X\rangle.$$

By Lemma 2, we get (53). From (41) – (42), (53) and a bit of help from Maple program we find

$$\begin{aligned}2\,C_n(u,v,Z)\ &=\ \langle\bar Z,u\rangle\,\beta(v)+\langle\bar Z,v\rangle\,\beta(u)\\&+\ c^{-3}(c\,\beta(\bar Z)-2\,\beta^\sharp(c)-n(c))\big(\langle u,v\rangle-\beta(u)\beta(v)\big)\\&-\ c^{-1}(u(c)\,\beta(v)+v(c)\,\beta(u))+c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c)+n(c))\big(\langle u,v\rangle-3\,\beta(u)\,\beta(v)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Using $g(C_n^\sharp(u),v)=\langle\bar C(u),v\rangle$, where $C_n^\sharp$ is g-dual to $C_n(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla_n\,n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}2\,\bar C(u)\ &=\ \langle\bar Z,u\rangle\,\beta^\sharp+\beta(u)\bar Z+c^{-3}(c\,\beta(\bar Z)-2\,\beta^\sharp(c)-n(c))\big(u-\beta(u)\beta^\sharp\big)\\&-\ c^{-1}(u(c)\,\beta^\sharp+\beta(u)\,\bar\nabla^\top c)+c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c)+n(c))\big(u-3\,\beta(u)\,\beta^\sharp\big),\end{aligned}$$

we apply Lemma 2 to get (54).

We shall prove (55) and (56) for a. It is sufficient to show that

$$\langle\bar R(u,N)N,v\rangle=\langle(\bar\nabla_N\,\bar A-\bar A^2)(u),v\rangle-\langle\bar Z,u\rangle\langle\bar Z,v\rangle+\langle\bar\nabla_u\,\bar Z,v\rangle,\quad u,v\in T\mathcal F.\tag{57}$$

Since the left hand side of (57) is symmetric, we obtain $\langle\bar\nabla_u\bar Z,v\rangle=\langle\bar\nabla_v\bar Z,u\rangle$, see $(56)_1$ and $(55)_1$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\bar R(u,N)N,v\rangle=\langle\bar\nabla_u\bar\nabla_NN,v\rangle-\langle\bar\nabla_N\bar\nabla_uN,v\rangle-\langle\bar\nabla_{\bar\nabla_uN-\bar\nabla_Nu}N,v\rangle\\&=\langle\bar\nabla_u\bar Z,v\rangle+\langle\bar\nabla_N(\bar A(u)),v\rangle-\langle\bar A^{\,2}(u),v\rangle+\langle\bar\nabla_{\langle\bar\nabla_N\,u,N\rangle N}\,N,v\rangle-\langle\bar A(\bar\nabla_N^\top\,u),v\rangle\\&=\langle(\bar\nabla_N\,\bar A-\bar A^{\,2})(u),v\rangle-\langle\bar Z,u\rangle\langle\bar Z,v\rangle+\langle\bar\nabla_u\,\bar Z,v\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of (57). The proof of $(55)_2$ and $(56)_2$ (for the metric g) is similar. □

By (54), the equality $C_n^\sharp=0$ is independent of the condition $\bar\nabla\beta=0$. Moreover, we have the following.

Corollary 8. Let $m > 3$ and $c = \text{const}$. Then $C_n^\sharp = 0$ if and only if $\bar{Z} = 0$.

Proof. By our assumptions, $\bar{C} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + \frac{1}{2}\, c^{-2}\, \beta(\bar{Z})\big(I_m - \beta \otimes \beta^\sharp\big)$. Hence, $C_n^\sharp = 0$ reads

$$\beta(\bar{Z})I_m = \beta(\bar{Z})\,\beta \otimes \beta^\sharp - c^2 \operatorname{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) - 2\,(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp.$$

Since the matrix $\beta(\bar{Z})I_m$ is conformal, while the matrix in the right hand side of above equality has the form $\omega \otimes \beta^\sharp - c^2 \bar{Z}^{\perp\beta} \otimes \beta$ and rank ≤ 3, for $m > 3$ we obtain

$$\beta(\bar{Z}) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + 2\, c^{-2}(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp = 0.$$

By the first condition, $\bar{Z} \perp \beta^\sharp$; thus, the second condition yields $\bar{Z} = 0$ (that is, $\mathcal{F}$ is a Riemannian foliation for the metric a) and $\bar{C} = 0$. The converse claim follows directly from (54) and the definition of $\bar{C}$. □

Remark 4. In [5, 15] one may find coordinate presentations of R_y through $\bar{R}_y$ for all $y \in TM$. For example, if $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$ (i.e., F is a Berwald structure) then $R_y(u) = \bar{R}_y(u)$ for all u. Alternative formulas with relationship between R_ν and $\bar{R}_\nu$ follow from (56), where A^g and Z are expressed using $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{Z}$ given in Propositions 3 and 4.

3.3 Around the Reeb and Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg formula

In results of this section, a closed manifold can be replaced by a complete manifold of finite volume with bounded geometry, see conditions (32). Based on (28) and (36), one may produce a sequence of similar formulae for Randers spaces. We will discuss first two of them (i.e., $k = 1, 2$).

Remark 5. In [10], G. Reeb proved that the total mean curvature of the leaves of a codimension-one foliation on a closed Riemannian manifold equals zero. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}\overline{\operatorname{Def}}^{\,\top}_{\beta^\sharp} = \overline{\operatorname{div}}\,\beta^\sharp + \beta(\bar{Z})$, where $\bar{Z} = \bar{\nabla}_N N$ is the curvature vector of N-curves for the metric a. Using notations of Appendix, we find from (45),

$$\beta(U_1) = -\frac{2 - c^2}{2\,c}\, N(c) - \frac{1}{2}\,\beta^\sharp(c) - \frac{2 - c^2}{2\,c}\,\beta(\bar{Z}), \quad \beta(U_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\,(c\,N - \beta^\sharp)(c) - \frac{1}{2}\,c\,\beta(\bar{Z}).$$

Hence,

$$\beta(U_1) + \beta(U_2) = -c^{-1}(N(c) + \beta(\bar{Z})).$$

Tracing (44), we get

$$c\,\sigma_1(A^g) = \sigma_1(\bar{A}) - (m+1)\,c^{-1}N(c) + m\,c^{-2}\beta^\sharp(c) + c^{-1}\,\overline{\operatorname{div}}\,\beta^\sharp.$$

The volume forms of g and a obey $\mathrm{d}V_g = c^{m+2}\,\mathrm{d}V_a$, see (43). Using the Reeb formula for metric g,

$$\int_M \sigma_1(A^g)\,\mathrm{d}V_g = 0,$$

the equality $\overline{\operatorname{div}}(c^m\beta^\sharp) = c^m\,\overline{\operatorname{div}}\,\beta^\sharp + \beta^\sharp(c^m)$ and the Divergence Theorem, we get

$$\int_M \big(c^{m+1}\sigma_1(\bar{A}) - N(c^{m+1})\big)\,\mathrm{d}V_a = 0\,, \tag{58}$$

which for $\beta = 0$ is the Reeb formula for metric a. Remark that (58) is a particular case of a general formula for any $f \in C^2(M)$, see [12, Lemma 2.5]:

$$\int_M (f\,\sigma_1(\bar{A}) - N(f))\,\mathrm{d}V_a = 0.$$

The next results concern Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg type formulas for foliated Randers spaces.

The *Newton transformations* $T_k(A)$ $(0 \le k \le m)$ of an $m \times m$ matrix A (see [12]) are defined either inductively by $T_0(A) = I_m,\ T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A) I_m - A\, T_{k-1}(A)$ $(k \ge 1)$ or explicitly as

$$T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A) I_m - \sigma_{k-1}(A)\, A + \ldots + (-1)^k A^k, \quad 0 \le k \le m,$$

and we have $T_k(\lambda\, A) = \lambda^k\, T_k(A)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. Observe that if a rank-one matrix $A := U \otimes \beta$ (and similarly for $A := \omega \otimes \beta^\sharp$) has zero trace, i.e., $\beta(U) = 0$, then

$$A^2 = U(\beta^\sharp)^t \cdot U(\beta^\sharp)^t = U\beta(U)\,(\beta^\sharp)^t = \beta(U)\, A = 0.$$

Note that for $c = \text{const}$ we have, see (54), $C_n^\sharp = c^{-2}\bar{C} + c^{-4}(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp$, where $C_n^\sharp = c^2 C_\nu^\sharp$ and

$$2\,\bar{C} = \mathrm{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + c^{-2}\beta(\bar{Z})(I_m - \beta \otimes \beta^\sharp).$$

Theorem 4. *Let $(M^{m+1}, \alpha + \beta)$ be a codimension-one foliated closed Randers space with constant sectional curvature $\bar{K}$ of a. If a nonzero vector field $\beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ obeys $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$, then $\bar{K} = 0$ and for $1 \le k \le m$ we have*

$$\int_M \Big(\sum\nolimits_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(\bar{A}, c\, C_\nu^\sharp) + \langle T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + c\, C_\nu^\sharp)(\beta^\sharp),\, U_1 \rangle + \big\langle T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + c\, C_\nu^\sharp + U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp)(U_2),\, \beta^\sharp \big\rangle \Big)\, \mathrm{d}V_a = 0, \tag{59}$$

where $U_1 = \frac{1}{2}\, c^{-2}(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) - c\bar{Z})$, $U_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z})$. Moreover, if $m > 3$ and $\bar{Z} = 0$ then

$$\int_M \big\langle \big(c^{-2} T_{k-1}(\bar{A}) - T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + \frac{1}{2}\, c^{-2}\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp)\big)(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)),\ \beta^\sharp \big\rangle\, \mathrm{d}V_a = 0. \tag{60}$$

Proof. By our assumptions, $c = \text{const}$ and $\bar{R}(x,y)z = \bar{K}(\,\langle y, z\rangle\, x - \langle x, z\rangle\, y\,)$. Hence, on $T\mathcal{F}$

$$\bar{R}_N = \bar{K} I_m, \quad \bar{R}_{\beta^\sharp} = (1 - c^2)\bar{K} I_m, \quad \bar{R}(\cdot, N)\beta^\sharp = 0.$$

If $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$ then $\bar{R}(U, \beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp, U) = 0$ and $\bar{K}(U \wedge \beta^\sharp) = 0$ for all $U \perp \beta^\sharp$; hence, in our case, $\bar{K} = 0$. By Remark 4, $R_y = \bar{R}_y$ for all $y \in TM_0$; hence, $R_y = 0$. Since $\bar{\nabla}\beta^\sharp = 0$, we obtain $\beta(\bar{Z}) = 0$ and $\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$:

$$\langle \beta^\sharp,\, \bar{Z} \rangle = \langle \beta^\sharp,\, \bar{\nabla}_N N \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_N\, \beta^\sharp,\, N \rangle = 0,$$
$$\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle = -\langle \beta^\sharp,\, \bar{\nabla}_{\beta^\sharp}\, N \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\beta^\sharp}\, \beta^\sharp,\, N \rangle = 0.$$

By (24) and Corollary 7,

$$c\, A = c\, A^g + c\, C_\nu^\sharp = \bar{A} + c\, C_\nu^\sharp + A_1 + A_2,$$

where $A_1 = U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp$ and $A_2 = U_2 \otimes \beta$ are rank ≤ 1 matrices (since $\langle U_i, \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$). By Corollary 11 of Appendix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^k \sigma_k(A) \ &= \ \sigma_k(\bar{A}) + \sum\nolimits_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(\bar{A}, c\, C_\nu^\sharp) + U_1\big(T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + c\, C_\nu^\sharp)(\beta^\sharp)\big) \\ &+ \ \beta\big(T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + c\, C_\nu^\sharp + A_1)(U_2)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{d}V_F = c^{m+2}\, \mathrm{d}V_a$, see (43). Comparing (36) (when $K = 0$) with

$$\int_M \sigma_k(\bar{A}_p)\, \mathrm{d}V_a = 0,$$

we find (59). By Corollary 8, if $m > 3$, $\bar{Z} = 0$ then $C_\nu^\sharp = 0$; hence, (59) yields (60). □

Remark 6. For $k = 1$, (59) yields the Reeb type formula

$$\int_M \sigma_1(C_\nu^\sharp)\, \mathrm{d}V_a = 0.$$

Corollary 9. *Let $(M^{m+1}, \alpha+\beta)$, $m>3$, be a codimension-one foliated closed Randers space with constant sectional curvature $\bar{K}$ of a. If $\bar{Z}=0$ and a nonzero vector field $\beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ obeys $\bar{\nabla}\beta=0$ then $\bar{K}=0$ and $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)=0$ at any point of M. If, in addition, $\mathcal{F}$ is totally umbilical ($\bar{A}=\bar{H}\cdot I_m$) then $\mathcal{F}$ is totally geodesic.*

Proof. For $k=2$, the integrand in (60) reduces to $\frac{c^2-1}{4\,c^2}\,\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2$. Thus, when $c\neq 1$, the claim follows.

Nevertheless, we will give alternative proof with use of integral formula (30). Our Randers space $(M,\alpha+\beta)$ is now Berwald. For the rank 1 matrices $A_1 = U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp$ and $A_2 = U_2\otimes\beta$, where $U_1=\frac{1}{2}\,c^{-2}\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$ and $U_2=-\frac{1}{2}\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$ and $\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp),\beta^\sharp\rangle=0$, see (52) with $\bar{Z}=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(A_1A_2) &= \langle U_1,U_2\rangle\,\beta(\beta^\sharp) = \frac{c^2-1}{4\,c^2}\,\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2_\alpha,\\
\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{A}A_1) &= \langle U_1,\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\,c^2}\,\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2_\alpha,\\
\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{A}A_2) &= \langle U_2,\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\,\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Tr}(A_1A_2+\bar{A}A_1+\bar{A}A_2)=\frac{1-c^2}{4\,c^2}\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2$. By the identity for square matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2\big(\textstyle\sum_i A_i\big) &= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}^2\big(\textstyle\sum_i A_i\big) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\big(\big(\textstyle\sum_i A_i\big)^2\big)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_i \sigma_2(A_i) + \sum_{i<j}\big((\mathrm{Tr}\,A_i)(\mathrm{Tr}\,A_j) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_iA_j)\big)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_2(A_1)=\sigma_2(A_2)=0$, by the above and since $c\,A = c\,A^g = \bar{A}+A_1+A_2$, we get

$$c^2\sigma_2(A) \;=\; c^2\sigma_2(A^g) = \sigma_2(\bar{A}) + \frac{1}{4}\,(c^{-2}-1)\,\|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2_\alpha.$$

From the integral formulae, (35), for F and for Riemannian metric a,

$$\int_M \sigma_2(\bar{A})\,\mathrm{d}V_a = 0, \quad \int_M \sigma_2(A)\,\mathrm{d}V_F = 0,$$

where the volume forms are related by $\mathrm{d}V_F = c^{m+2}\mathrm{d}V_a$, see (6), we find that

$$(c^{-2}-1)\int_M \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2_\alpha\,\mathrm{d}V_a = 0.$$

Since $c\neq 1$ (for $\beta\neq 0$), we obtain $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)=0$. □

Similar integral formulae exist for codimension one totally umbilical (i.e., $\bar{A}=\bar{H}I_m$, where $\bar{H}=\frac{1}{m}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\bar{A}$) and totally geodesic foliations. Notice that non-flat closed Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature do not admit such foliations.

Corollary 10. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one totally umbilical (for the metric a) foliation of a closed Randers space $(M^{m+1},\alpha+\beta)$ with constant sectional curvature $\bar{K}$ of a. If a nonzero vector field $\beta^\sharp\in\Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ obeys $\bar{\nabla}\beta^\sharp=0$ then $\bar{K}=0$, $\mathcal{F}$ is totally geodesic and for $1\leq k\leq m$ (for $k=1$, see also Remark 6) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \Big(&c^k\sigma_k(C^\sharp_\nu) - \frac{1}{2}\,c^{-1}\,\langle T_{k-1}(c\,C^\sharp_\nu)(\beta^\sharp),\,\bar{Z}\rangle\\
&-\frac{c}{2}\,\big\langle T_{k-1}(c\,C^\sharp_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\,c^{-1}\bar{Z}^\flat\otimes\beta^\sharp)(\bar{Z}),\,\beta^\sharp\big\rangle\Big)\,\mathrm{d}V_a = 0.
\end{aligned}\tag{62}$$

Proof. Since $\langle\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp),\beta^\sharp\rangle=0$ (see the proof of Theorem 4), we obtain $\bar{H}=0$. Thus, (62) follows from (59) with $\bar{A}=0$ and $\beta(\bar{Z})=0$. □

4 Appendix: Invariants of a set of matrices

Here, we collect the properties of the invariants $\sigma_\lambda(A_1,\dots,A_k)$ of real matrices A_i that generalize the elementary symmetric functions of a single symmetric matrix A. Let S_k be the group of all permutations of k elements. Given arbitrary quadratic $m\times m$ real matrices $A_1,\dots A_k$ and the unit matrix I_m, one can consider the determinant $\det(I_m+t_1A_1+\ldots+t_kA_k)$ and express it as a polynomial of real variables $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,\dots t_k)$. Given $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots\lambda_k)$, a sequence of nonnegative integers with $|\lambda|:=\lambda_1+\ldots+\lambda_k\le m$, we shall denote by $\sigma_\lambda(A_1,\dots,A_k)$ its coefficient at $\mathbf{t}^\lambda=t_1^{\lambda_1}\cdot\ldots t_k^{\lambda_k}$:

$$\det(I_m+t_1A_1+\ldots+t_kA_k)=\sum\nolimits_{|\lambda|\le m}\sigma_\lambda(A_1,\dots A_k)\,\mathbf{t}^\lambda. \tag{63}$$

Evidently, the quantities σ_λ are invariants of conjugation by $GL(m)$-matrices:

$$\sigma_\lambda(A_1,\dots A_k)=\sigma_\lambda(QA_1Q^{-1},\dots QA_kQ^{-1}) \tag{64}$$

for all A_i's, λ's and nonsingular $m\times m$ matrices Q. Certainly, $\sigma_i(A)$ (for a single symmetric matrix A) coincides with the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues $\{k_j\}$ of A.

In the next lemma, we collect properties of these invariants.

Lemma 3 (see [13]). *For any $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots\lambda_k)$ and any $m\times m$ matrices A_i, A and B one has*
(I) $\sigma_\lambda(0,A_2,\dots A_k)=0$ if $\lambda_1>0$ and $\sigma_{0,\hat\lambda}(A_1,\dots A_k)=\sigma_{\hat\lambda}(A_2,\dots A_k)$ where $\hat\lambda=(\lambda_2,\dots\lambda_k)$,
(II) $\sigma_\lambda(A_{s(1)},\dots A_{s(k)})=\sigma_{\lambda\circ s}(A_1,\dots A_k)$, where $s\in S_k$ and $\lambda\circ s=(\lambda_{s(1)},\dots\lambda_{s(k)})$,
(III) $\sigma_\lambda(I_m,A_2,\dots A_k)=\binom{m-|\hat\lambda|}{\lambda_1}\sigma_{\hat\lambda}(A_2,\dots A_k)$,
(IV) $\sigma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\hat\lambda}(A,A,A_3,\dots A_k)=\binom{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\,\sigma_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2,\hat\lambda}(A,A_3,\dots A_k)$,
(V) $\sigma_{1,\hat\lambda}(A+B,A_2,\dots A_k)=\sigma_{1,\hat\lambda}(A,A_2,\dots A_k)+\sigma_{1,\hat\lambda}(B,A_2,\dots A_k)$ and $\sigma_\lambda(aA_1,A_2,\dots A_k)=a^{\lambda_1}\sigma_\lambda(A_1,A_2,\dots A_k)$ if $a\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.

The invariants defined above can be used in calculation of the determinant of a matrix $B(t)$ expressed as a power series $B(t)=\sum_{i=0}^\infty t^iB_i$. Indeed, if one wants to express $\det(B(t))$ as a power series in t, then the coefficient at t^j depends only on the part $\sum_{i\le j}t^iB_i$ of $B(t)$.

Lemma 4 ([13]). *If $B(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, is the $m\times m$ matrix given by $B(t)=\sum_{i=0}^\infty t^iB_i$, $B_0=I_m$ then*

$$\det(B(t))=1+\sum\nolimits_{k=1}^\infty\big(\sum\nolimits_{\lambda,\|\lambda\|=k}\sigma_\lambda(B_1,\dots B_k)\big)\,t^k, \tag{65}$$

where $\|\lambda\|=\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\ldots+k\lambda_k$ for $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots\lambda_k)$. □

Since $\det:\mathcal{M}(m)\to\mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{M}(m)\approx\mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ being the space of all $m\times m$-matrices, is a polynomial function, the series in (65) is convergent for all $t\in(-r_0,r_0)$, where $r_0=1/\limsup_{k\to\infty}\|B_k\|^{1/k}$ is the radius of convergence of the series $B(t)$.

By the First Fundamental Theorem of Matrix Invariants, see [6], all the invariants σ_λ can be expressed in terms of the traces of the matrices involved and their products.

Lemma 5 ([13]). *For arbitrary matrices B, C and $k,l>0$ we have*

$$\sigma_{k,l}(B,C)=\sigma_k(B)\,\sigma_l(C)-\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{\min(k,l)}\sigma_{k-i,l-i,i}(B,C,BC).$$

In particular, for $l=1$, it follows that

$$\sigma_{k,1}(B,C)\;=\;\sum\nolimits_{i=0}^k(-1)^i\sigma_{k-i}(B)\,\mathrm{Tr}(B^iC)=\mathrm{Tr}(T_k(B)C). \tag{66}$$

Lemma 6. *Let A,C be $m\times m$ matrices and* $\operatorname{rank}A=1$. *Then*

$$\sigma_k(C+A)=\sigma_k(C)+\mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C)A). \tag{67}$$

Proof. There exists a nonsingular matrix Q such that $\tilde{A} = QAQ^{-1}$ has one nonzero element, $\tilde{a}_{1i} \neq 0$ for some i (the simplest rank one matrix). By (64), $\sigma_{k,l}(\tilde{C}, \tilde{A}) = \sigma_{k,l}(C, A)$ where $\tilde{C} = QCQ^{-1}$. By Laplace's formula (which expresses the determinant of a matrix in terms of its minors), $\det(I_m + t\tilde{C} + s\tilde{A})$ is a linear function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$; hence, see (63), $\sigma_{k,l}(\tilde{C}, \tilde{A}) = 0$ for $l > 1$. By the above, $\sigma_{k,l}(C, A) = 0$ for $l > 1$ and all k. Using the identity, see [13],

$$\sigma_k(C_1 + C_2) = \sum\nolimits_{i=0}^{k} \sigma_{\,k-i,i}(C_1, C_2), \tag{68}$$

we find that

$$\sigma_k(C + A) \;=\; \sigma_k(C) + \sigma_{k-1,1}(C, A).$$

By (66), $\sigma_{k-1,1}(C, A) = \mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C)A)$ and (67) follows. □

Corollary 11. *Let C, D, A_i be $m \times m$ matrices and* $\operatorname{rank} A_i = 1$ *$(1 \leq i \leq s)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(C + D + A_1 + \ldots A_s) &= \sigma_k(C) + \sum\nolimits_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(C, D) \\ +\; \mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1) + \ldots + \mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D + A_1 + \ldots + A_{s-1})A_s). \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 6 and (66). For $s = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(C + D + A_1) &\overset{(67)}{=} \sigma_k(C + D) + \mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1) \\ &\overset{(68)}{=} \sigma_k(C) + \sum\nolimits_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(C, D) + \mathrm{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by induction for s, (69) follows. □

Let C_i and P_i be m-vectors (columns) and I_m the identity m-matrix and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$. Note that $C_iP_j^t$ are $m \times m$-matrices of rank 1 with

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1(C_iP_j^t) = C_i^tP_j = P_j^tC_i, \quad \sigma_2(C_iP_j^t) = 0, \\ &(I_m + C_iP_j^t)^{-1} = I_m - (1 + C_i^tP_j)^{-1}\, C_iP_j^t. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7. *We have* $\det(I_m + \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_iP_i^t) = 1 + \det(\{C_i^tP_j\}_{1\leq i,j\leq k})$. *For example,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(I_m + C_1P_1^t) = 1 + C_1^tP_1\,, \\ &\det(I_m + C_1P_1^t + C_2P_2^t) = 1 + C_1^tP_1 + C_2^tP_2 + C_1^tP_1 \cdot C_2^tP_2 - C_1^tP_2 \cdot C_2^tP_1\,, \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

References

[1] K. Andrzejewski, V. Rovenski and P. Walczak, *Integral formulas in foliation theory*, 73-82, in "*Geometry and its Applications*", Springer Proc. in Math. and Statistics, 72, Springer, 2014.

[2] D. Bao, S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Springer, 2000.

[3] F. Brito, R. Langevin, H. Rosenberg, *Intégrales de courbure sur des variétés feuilletées*. J. Diff. Geom. 16 (1981), 19-50.

[4] X. Cheng, Z. Shen, *Finsler geometry. An approach via Randers spaces*, Springer, 2012.

[5] S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler geometry*, World Scientific, 2005.

[6] G.G. Gurevich, *Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants*, Noordhof, Groningen, 1964.

[7] R. Langevin, P. Walczak, *Conformal geometry of foliations*, Geom. Dedic. 132 (2008), 135-178.

[8] X. Mo, *An introduction to Finsler geometry*, World Scientific, 2006.

[9] G. Randers, *On an asymmetrical metric in the four-space of general relativity*, Phys. Rev. 59, (1941) 195-199.

[10] G. Reeb, *Sur la courbure moyenne des variétés intégrales d'une équation de Pfaff* $\omega = 0$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 231, (1950) 101-102.

[11] V. Rovenski, *Foliations on Riemannian manifolds and submanifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1998.

[12] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, *Topics in extrinsic geometry of codimension-one foliations*, Springer 2011.

[13] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, *Integral formulae on foliated symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. 352, (2012) 223-237.

[14] Z. Shen, *On Finsler geometry of submanifolds*, Math. Ann. 311, (1998) 549-576.

[15] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler geometry*, World Scientific Publishers, 2001.

[16] P. Topping, *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, LMS Lecture Notes 325, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.